<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title</td>
<td>On Minkowski's inequality and its application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Zhao, CJ; Cheung, WS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citation</td>
<td>Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2011, v. 2011, article no. 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issued Date</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10722/144547">http://hdl.handle.net/10722/144547</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On Minkowski’s inequality and its application
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Abstract

In the paper, we first give an improvement of Minkowski integral inequality. As an application, we get new Brunn-Minkowski-type inequalities for dual mixed volumes.
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1 Improvement of Minkowski’s inequality

The well-known inequality due to Minkowski can be stated as follows ([1], pp. 19-20, [2], p. 31):

Theorem 1.1 Let \( f(x), g(x) \geq 0 \) and \( p > 1 \), then

\[
\left( \int (f(x) + g(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int f(x)^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int g(x)^p \, dx \right)^{1/p},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f \) and \( g \) are proportional, and if \( p < 1 \) (\( p \neq 0 \)), then

\[
\left( \int (f(x) + g(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \geq \left( \int f(x)^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int g(x)^p \, dx \right)^{1/p},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f \) and \( g \) are proportional. For \( p < 0 \), we assume that \( f(x), g(x) > 0 \).

An (almost) improvement of Minkowski’s inequality, for \( p \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \), is obtained in the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.2 Let \( f(x), g(x) \geq 0 \) and \( p > 0 \), or \( f(x), g(x) > 0 \) and \( p < 0 \). Let \( s, t \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\} \), and \( s \neq t \). Then

(i) Let \( p, s, t \in \mathbb{R} \) be different, such that \( s, t > 1 \) and \( (s - t)/(p - t) > 1 \). Then

\[
\int (f(x) + g(x))^p \, dx \leq \left[ \left( \int f'(x) \, dx \right)^{1/s} + \left( \int g'(x) \, dx \right)^{1/t} \right]^{(p-s)/(s-t)} \times \left[ \left( \int f''(x) \, dx \right)^{1/s} + \left( \int g''(x) \, dx \right)^{1/t} \right]^{(p-t)/(s-t)},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are constant, or \( 1/p = (1/s + 1/t)/2 \) and \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are proportional.

(ii) Let \( p, s, t \in \mathbb{R} \) be different, such that \( s, t < 1 \) and \( s, t \neq 0 \), and \( (s - t)/(p - t) < 1 \). Then
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\[
\int (f(x) + g(x))^p dx \geq \left[ \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/s} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/s} \right]^{(p-1)/(s-t)} \times \left[ \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/t} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/t} \right]^{(1-p)/(s-t)},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are constant, or \( 1/p = (1/s + 1/t)/2 \) and \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are proportional.

\textbf{Proof (i)} We have \((s - t)/(p - t) > 1\), and in view of

\[
\int (f(x) + g(x))^p dx = \int \left[ (f(x) + g(x))^s \right]^{(p-1)/(s-t)} \cdot \left[ (f(x) + g(x))^t \right]^{(1-p)/(s-t)} dx.
\]

By using Hölder's inequality (see [1] or [2]) with indices \((s - t)/(p - t)\) and \((s - t)/(s - p)\), we have

\[
\int (f(x) + g(x))^p dx \leq \left[ \int \left( f(x) + g(x) \right)^s dx \right]^{(p-1)/(s-t)} \cdot \left[ \int \left( f(x) + g(x) \right)^t dx \right]^{(1-p)/(s-t)},
\]

with equality if and only if \((f(x) + g(x))^s\) and \((f(x) + g(x))^t\) are proportional, i.e., either \( f(x) + g(x) \) is constant or the exponents are equal, i.e., \( 1/p = (1/s + 1/t)/2 \).

On the other hand, by using Minkowski's inequality for \( s > 1 \) and \( t > 1 \), respectively, we obtain

\[
\left( \int f(x) + g(x) dx \right)^{1/s} \leq \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/s} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/s},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are proportional, and

\[
\left( \int f(x) + g(x) dx \right)^{1/t} \leq \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/t} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/t},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are proportional.

From (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), (1.3) easily follows. From the equality conditions of (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), the case of equality stated in (i) follows.

\textbf{(ii)} We have \((s - t)/(p - t) < 1\). Similar to the above proof, we have

\[
\int (f(x) + g(x))^p dx \geq \left[ \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/s} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/s} \right]^{(p-1)/(s-t)} \times \left[ \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/t} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/t} \right]^{(1-p)/(s-t)},
\]

with equality if and only if either \( f(x) + g(x) \) is constant or \( 1/p = (1/s + 1/t)/2 \).

On the other hand, in view of Minkowski's inequality for the cases of \( 0 < s < 1 \) and \( 0 < t < 1 \),

\[
\left( \int f(x) + g(x) dx \right)^{1/s} \geq \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/s} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/s},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are proportional, and

\[
\left( \int f(x) + g(x) dx \right)^{1/t} \geq \left( \int f'(x) dx \right)^{1/t} + \left( \int g'(x) dx \right)^{1/t},
\]

with equality if and only if \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) are proportional.
The inequality (1.4) easily follows, with equality as stated in (ii).

**Remark 1.3** For (i) of Theorem 1.2, for \( p > 1 \), letting \( s = p + \varepsilon, t = p - \varepsilon \), when \( p, s, t \) are different, \( s, t > 1 \), and \( (s - t)/(p - t) > 1 \), and letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we get (1.1).

For (ii) of Theorem 1.2, for \( p < 1 \) and \( p \neq 0 \), \( s = p + \varepsilon, t = p + 2\varepsilon \), when \( p, s, t \) are different, \( s, t < 1 \) and \( s, t \neq 0 \), and \( (s - t)/(p - t) = 1/2 < 1 \), and letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we get (1.2).

### 2 An application

The setting for this paper is \( n \)-dimensional Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2) \). Associated with a compact subset \( K \) of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), which is star-shaped with respect to the origin, is its radial function \( \rho(K, \cdot) : S^{n - 1} \to \mathbb{R} \), defined for \( u \in S^{n - 1} \), by

\[
\rho(K, u) = \text{Max}\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda u \in K\}.
\]

If \( \rho(K, \cdot) \) is positive and continuous, \( K \) will be called a star body. Let \( S^n \) denote the set of star bodies in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Let \( \tilde{\delta} \) denote the radial Hausdorff metric, that is defined as follows: if \( K, L \in S^n \), then \( \tilde{\delta}(K, L) = |\rho_K - \rho_L|_\infty \) (see e.g. [3]).

If \( K_1, \ldots, K_r \in S^n \) and \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R} \), then the radial Minkowski linear combination, \( \lambda_1 K_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r \), is defined by Lutwak (see [4]), as

\[
\lambda_1 K_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r = \{\lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r x_r : x_i \in K_i\}.
\]

Here, \( \lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r x_r \) equals \( \lambda_1 x_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r x_r \) if \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) belong to a linear 1-subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), and is 0 else. It has the following important property, for \( K, L \in S^n \) and \( \lambda, \mu \geq 0 \)

\[
\rho(\lambda K + \mu L, \cdot) = \lambda \rho(K, \cdot) + \mu \rho(L, \cdot) \tag{2.1}
\]

For \( K_1, \ldots, K_r \in S^n \) and \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \geq 0 \), the volume of the radial Minkowski linear combination \( \lambda_1 K_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r \) is a homogeneous \( n \)-th-degree polynomial in the \( \lambda_i \),

\[
V(\lambda_1 K_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r K_r) = \sum \tilde{V}_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_r} \tag{2.2}
\]

where the sum is taken over all \( n \)-tuples \( (i_1, \ldots, i_r) \) whose entries are positive integers not exceeding \( r \). If we require the coefficients of the polynomial in (2.2) to be symmetric in their argument, then they are uniquely determined. The coefficient \( \tilde{V}_{i_1, \ldots, i_r} \) is positive and depends only on the star bodies \( K_{i_1}, \ldots, K_{i_r} \). It is written as \( \tilde{V}(K_{i_1}, \ldots, K_{i_r}) \) and is called the dual mixed volume of \( K_{i_1}, \ldots, K_{i_r} \). If \( K_1 = \cdots = K_r = K, K_{n - i} = \cdots = K_{n - 1} = \cdots = K_n = L \), the dual mixed volumes are written as \( \tilde{V}(K, L) \). In particular, for \( B \) the unit ball about \( o \), \( \tilde{V}(K, B) \) is written as \( W_i(K) \) (see [5]).

For \( K_i \in S^n \), the dual mixed volumes were given by Lutwak (see [6]), as

\[
\tilde{V}(K_1, \ldots, K_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n - 1}} \rho(K_1, u) \cdots \rho(K_n, u) \, dS(u), \tag{2.3}
\]

For \( K, L \in S^n \) and \( i \in \mathbb{R} \), the \( i \)th dual mixed volume of \( K \) and \( L \), \( \tilde{V}_i(K, L) \), is defined by,

\[
\tilde{V}_i(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n - 1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-i} \rho(L, u) \, dS(u). \tag{2.4}
\]
From (2.4), taking in consideration \( \rho(B, u) = 1 \), if \( K \in S^n \), and \( i \in \mathbb{R} \)

\[
\tilde{W}_i(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K, u)^{n-i} dS(u).
\]  

(2.5)

The well-known Brunn-Minkowski-type inequality for dual mixed volumes can be stated as follows [6]:

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( K, L \in S^n \), and \( i < n - 1 \). Then,

\[
\tilde{W}_i(K \ast L)^{1/(n-i)} \leq \tilde{W}_i(K)^{1/(n-i)} + \tilde{W}_i(L)^{1/(n-i)},
\]

(2.6)

with equality if and only if \( K \) and \( L \) are dilates.

The inequality is reversed for \( i > n - 1 \) and \( i = n \).

In the following, we establish new Brunn-Minkowski-type inequalities for dual mixed volumes.

**Theorem 2.2** Let \( K, L \in S^n \) and \( i, j, k \in \mathbb{R} \).

(i) Let \( i, j, k \in \mathbb{R} \) be different, such that \( j, k < n - 1 \), and \( (j - k)/(i - k) > 1 \). Then

\[
\tilde{W}_i(K \ast L) \leq \left( \tilde{W}_j(K)^{1/(n-j)} + \tilde{W}_j(L)^{1/(n-j)} \right)^{(n-j)(k-i)/(k-j)} \\
\times \left( \tilde{W}_k(K)^{1/(n-k)} + \tilde{W}_k(L)^{1/(n-k)} \right)^{(n-k)(i-j)/(k-j)},
\]

(2.7)

with equality if and only if \( K \) and \( L \) are balls, or \( 1/(n - i) = [1/(n - j) + 1/(n - k)]/2 \), and \( K \) and \( L \) are dilates.

(ii) Let \( i, j, k \in \mathbb{R} \) be different, such that \( j, k > n - 1 \) and \( j, k < n \), and \( (j - k)/(i - k) < 1 \). Then

\[
\tilde{W}_i(K \ast L) \geq \left( \tilde{W}_j(K)^{1/(n-j)} + \tilde{W}_j(L)^{1/(n-j)} \right)^{(n-j)(k-i)/(k-j)} \\
\left( \tilde{W}_k(K)^{1/(n-k)} + \tilde{W}_k(L)^{1/(n-k)} \right)^{(n-k)(i-j)/(k-j)},
\]

(2.8)

with equality if and only if \( K \) and \( L \) are balls, or \( 1/(n - i) = [1/(n - j) + 1/(n - k)]/2 \), and \( K \) and \( L \) are dilates.

**Proof** We begin with the proof of (i). From (2.1), (2.5) and (1.3), we have

\[
\tilde{W}_i(K \ast L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho(K \ast L, u)^{n-i} dS(u) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} (\rho(K, u) + \rho(L, u))^{n-i} dS(u)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{n} \left[ \left( \int \rho(K, u)^{n-i} dx \right)^{1/(n-j)} + \left( \int \rho(L, u)^{n-i} dx \right)^{1/(n-j)} \right]^{(n-j)(k-i)/(k-j)} \\
\times \left[ \left( \int \rho(K, u)^{n-k} dx \right)^{1/(n-k)} + \left( \int \rho(L, u)^{n-k} dx \right)^{1/(n-k)} \right]^{(n-k)(i-j)/(k-j)}
\]

\[
= \left( \tilde{W}_j(K)^{1/(n-j)} + \tilde{W}_j(L)^{1/(n-j)} \right)^{(n-j)(k-i)/(k-j)} \left( \tilde{W}_k(K)^{1/(n-k)} + \tilde{W}_k(L)^{1/(n-k)} \right)^{(n-k)(i-j)/(k-j)},
\]

with equality if and only if as stated in (i).

Similarly, case (ii) of Theorem 2.2 easily follows. ■

**Remark 2.3** For (i) of Theorem 2.2, for \( n - i > 1 \), letting \( s = n - i + \varepsilon \), \( t = n - i - \varepsilon \), when \( i, j, k \) are different, \( n - j, n - k > 1 \), and \( (k - j)/(k - i) = 2 > 1 \), and letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \), we get the following result: Let \( K, L \in S^n \), and \( i < n - 1 \). Then,
\[
\tilde{W}_i(K \tilde{+} L) \frac{1}{(n-i)} \leq \tilde{W}_i(K) \frac{1}{(n-i)} + \tilde{W}_i(L) \frac{1}{(n-i)},
\]

with equality if and only if \(K\) and \(L\) are dilates.

This is just the well-known inequality (2.6) in Theorem 2.1.

For (ii) of Theorem 2.2, for \(n - i < 1\) and \(n - i \neq 0\), \(s = n - i + \varepsilon, t = n - i + 2\varepsilon\), when \(i, j, k\) are different, \(n - j, n - k < 1\) and \(n - j, n - k \neq 0\), and \((k - j)/(k - i) = 1/2 < 1\), and letting \(\varepsilon \to 0\), we get the following result:

Let \(K, L \in S^n\), and \(i < n - 1\) and \(i \neq n\). Then,

\[
\tilde{W}_i(K \tilde{+} L) \frac{1}{(n-i)} \geq \tilde{W}_i(K) \frac{1}{(n-i)} + \tilde{W}_i(L) \frac{1}{(n-i)},
\]

with equality if and only if \(K\) and \(L\) are dilates.

This is just an reversed form of inequality (2.6).
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