Prevention of Nosocomial Transmission of Norovirus by Strategic Infection Control Measures
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BACKGROUND. Nosocomial outbreaks of norovirus infection pose a great challenge to the infection control team.

METHODS. Between November 1, 2009, and February 28, 2010, strategic infection control measures were implemented in a hospital network. In addition to timely staff education and promotion of directly observed hand hygiene, reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction for norovirus was performed as an added test by the microbiology laboratory for all fecal specimens irrespective of the request for testing. Laboratory-confirmed cases were followed up by the infection control team for timely intervention. The incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection per 1,000 potentially infectious patient-days was compared with the corresponding period in the preceding 12 months, and the incidence in the other 6 hospital networks in Hong Kong was chosen as the concurrent control. Phylogenetic analysis of norovirus isolates was performed.

RESULTS. Of the 988 patients who were tested, 242 (25%) were positive for norovirus; 114 (47%) of those 242 patients had norovirus detected by our added test. Compared with the corresponding period in the preceding 12 months, the incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection decreased from 131 to 16 cases per 1,000 potentially infectious patient-days (P < .001), although the number of hospital-acquired infections was low in both the study period (n = 8) and the historical control periods (n = 11). The incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection in our hospital network (0.03 cases per 1,000 patient-days) was significantly lower than that of the concurrent control (0.06 cases per 1,000 patient-days) (P = .015). Forty-three (93%) of 46 norovirus isolates sequenced belonged to the genogroup II.4 variant.

CONCLUSIONS. Strategic infection control measures with an added test may be useful in controlling nosocomial transmission of norovirus.
METHODS

Infection Control Measures for Norovirus

In collaboration with the stakeholders, including hospital administrators, clinicians, and nursing colleagues, strategic infection control measures were established to prevent nosocomial transmission of norovirus infection. Open staff forums and special education sessions were arranged by the hospital administration and infection control team. Fecal specimens were collected for all hospitalized patients who had diarrheal symptoms on admission or during hospitalization. Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for the ORF1 gene of norovirus was performed upon request by the attending clinician (the "ordered test"). However, RT-PCR for norovirus was also performed as an added test by the microbiology laboratory for all fecal specimens that were requested for bacterial culture, *Clostridium difficile* culture, or cytotoxin, and rotavirus antigen detection without a request for norovirus detection. The infection control team checked with the microbiology laboratory on a daily basis for any test results positive for norovirus.

Cases were assessed at the bedside to confirm that patients had symptomatic diarrhea when fecal specimens were collected and to determine the route of acquisition. Community-acquired infection was defined as present if a patient had diarrheal symptoms upon or within 48 hours after admission and had no history of contact with any confirmed case in the hospital. Hospital-acquired infection was defined as present if a patient had onset of diarrhea after 48 hours of hospitalization.

The source of nosocomial transmission of norovirus was investigated by the infection control team with the aim to identify areas for improvement. The infection control team also advised healthcare workers on isolation and contact precautions for all laboratory-confirmed cases. An infection control action cascade was introduced in our hospital. Briefly, infection control nurses made daily, unannounced visits to areas at high risk for outbreaks of norovirus infection to detect any lapse in infection control practice. An infection control nurse contacted the high-risk areas by phone during working hours and weekends to ensure that appropriate patient placement was made for all patients with nosocomial onset of diarrhea. A high-risk area was defined as any clinical unit or ward in which nosocomial transmission of diarrheal pathogens had been reported in the past 12 months. A nosocomial outbreak was defined as 3 or more laboratory-confirmed cases of hospital-acquired norovirus infection in the same ward. When nosocomial outbreaks of norovirus infection occurred in the other hospitals in Hong Kong, our infection control team would immediately review relevant patient care practices and hospital environments to lower the corresponding risk factors, if present, that may contribute to a nosocomial outbreak.

Hand hygiene using an alcohol-based hand rub (World Health Organization formulation) was promoted in 2006 and fully implemented in our hospital in 2007. Directly observed hand hygiene practice was promoted, especially in the high-risk areas as previously described. Compliance with hand hygiene practices has been regularly audited by the infection control team according to a predetermined protocol. The consumption data of alcohol-based hand rub retrieved from the pharmacy was calculated in terms of liters per 1,000 patient-days.

Epidemiology of Norovirus in Queen Mary Hospital

This study was performed in Queen Mary Hospital, a 1,400-bed tertiary referral university-affiliated hospital with 3 adult isolation wards and 1 pediatric isolation ward, under the governance of hospital network A in Hong Kong. Except for general pediatric patients who were routinely admitted into isolation facilities when they presented with diarrheal illness, all other patients, including surgical pediatric patients, were placed in the nonisolation facilities upon admission in view of the limitation of isolation facilities. Hospitalized patients were transferred to 4 chronic care hospitals designated for rehabilitation and extended medical care with a total of 1,200 beds within hospital network A when their acute conditions stabilized. Hospital network A is estimated to provide clinical service to a population of approximately 0.53 million. Our microbiology laboratory provides service to 5 hospitals in hospital network A. From November 1, 2009, to February 28, 2010, all fecal specimens sent to the microbiology laboratory were subjected to norovirus RT-PCR as either an ordered test or an added test, with a turnaround time of 1 day. The overall positive rate of norovirus infection in hospital network A was described. The epidemiology and nosocomial transmission of norovirus infection diagnosed in Queen Mary Hospital was analyzed. The number of potentially infectious patient-days for norovirus was defined as the interval from onset of diarrhea upon admission or during hospitalization to the implementation of infection control practice, including cohort nursing or single room isolation. The number of hospital-acquired norovirus infections in the corresponding period (November–February) in the preceding 12 months was retrieved for comparison to assess the effectiveness of our infection control measures.

Nosocomial Outbreak of Norovirus Infection in Hong Kong

In Hong Kong, there are 7 hospital networks comprising 41 public hospitals under the management of the Hospital Authority, which serves over 90% of the 7 million people in Hong Kong. The infection control officer of a hospital is responsible for monitoring the occurrence of nosocomial outbreaks and reporting to the Hospital Authority if the outbreak might have a public health impact. The number of exposed patients and staff in each outbreak of norovirus infection was retrieved from the Hospital Authority. The number and clin-
ical attack rates of the nosocomial outbreaks in all 7 hospital networks in the corresponding period of 2009 were reported. The incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection in the hospital network was expressed in 1,000 patient-days.

Molecular Diagnosis and Phylogenetic Analysis of Norovirus by Real-Time RT-PCR

A 10% (wt/vol) fecal suspension was prepared with viral transport medium and clarified by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 20 minutes. Total nucleic acid was extracted from 250 μL of the 10% clarified fecal suspension with NucleiSens easyMAG instrument (bioMerieux, Boxtel, Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed to complementary DNA (cDNA) using the SuperScript II kit (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) with random primer as previously described.13 Real-time RT-PCR for norovirus was performed by using primers to amplify the ORF1-ORF2 junction region and probes for genotype 1 and II as previously described with modification.14 PCR amplification was performed with a LightCycler 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics) under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 minutes (preamplification hot start), and then 50 cycles of amplification with denaturation at 95°C for 10 seconds, annealing at 56°C for 15 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 12 seconds. Amplification data were collected and analyzed with LightCycler 4.1 software (Roche Diagnostic).


FIGURE 1. Weekly number of RT-PCR for norovirus and results of hospital patients in Queen Mary Hospital (from November 1, 2009, to February 28, 2010). An “added test” is defined as the test performed by the microbiology laboratory on all fecal specimens that were sent only for bacterial culture, Clostridium difficile culture or cytotoxin test, and rotavirus antigen detection without a request for norovirus investigation; an “ordered test” is defined as the test requested by the attending clinician. Wk 44, week 44 of 2009, represents November 1–7, 2009; Wk 44 of 2009 to Wk 7 of 2010 is defined as a 7-day interval; Wk 8, week 8 of 2010, represents February 21–28, 2010.
Genotyping PCR and Sequencing
The norovirus genotyping I and II were performed using the primary and secondary seminested PCR assays and conditions described previously.14,15 All positive PCR products from either primary or secondary seminested PCR assays were purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and were sequenced in both directions with BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit version 3.1 (Applied Biosystems, California, USA) with corresponding specific primers and an ABI 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, New Jersey, USA).

Statistical Analysis
The Fisher exact test was used to compare independent categorical variables between groups. A P value of less than .05 was considered statistically significant. Computation was performed using EpilInfo 3.5.1 (CDC).

RESULTS
Infection Control Measures for Norovirus
Between November 1, 2009, and February 28, 2010, there were 9 sessions of a staff forum held in hospital network A, with an overall attendance of 1,031 (26%) of 3,925 healthcare workers, to update medical knowledge and infection control practice to prevent nosocomial transmission of norovirus. Including the basic and special infection control training sessions held previously, a total of 3,594 professional staff members (91.6%) have attended our infection control training in the past 18 months.

A previous audit of hand hygiene practice showed a gradual increase in compliance in all clinical departments from 25% to 59% of opportunities between 2006 and 2008. When a yearly audit was performed in November 2009, the overall rate of hand hygiene compliance of hospital staff was consistently between 60% and 70%. The consumption of alcohol-based hand rub increased from 1.5 L per 1,000 patient-days in 2006, 18.8 L per 1,000 patient-days in 2007, and 25.3 L per 1,000 patient-days in 2008 to 26.9 L per 1,000 patient-days in 2009.

Epidemiology of Norovirus in Queen Mary Hospital
During the study period, 1,612 fecal specimens from 1,153 hospitalized patients from hospital network A were received for microbiology investigation, of which 1,416 fecal specimens from 988 patients were collected in Queen Mary Hospital. Of the 1,416 fecal specimens collected from 659 patients, 957 (68%) were classified as “added test.” Two hundred forty-two (25%) of 988 patients tested positive for norovirus by RT-PCR; 114 (47%) of those 242 patients had norovirus detected by our added test. Among these 114 patients, 1 patient was coinfected with Aeromonas caviae, 1 patient with toxigenic C. difficile, 4 patients with nontoxigenic C. difficile, and 1 pediatric patient with rotavirus. The weekly number of ordered tests and added tests for norovirus and the percentage of tests with a positive result are illustrated in Figure 1.

Among the 242 patients with laboratory-confirmed norovirus infection, there were 142 females and 100 males, with a median age of 57 years (range, 1 month to 101 years). Seventy-four (31%) of 242 patients were aged 3 years or younger while 106 (44%) of them were aged 70 years or older. Fifty-two (21%) patients were long-term-care home residents.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Patient Sex/Age</th>
<th>Unit/Ward</th>
<th>Date of Admission</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Date of Onset of Diarrhea (Wk)</th>
<th>Days after Admission</th>
<th>Fecal Specimen</th>
<th>Source of Infection</th>
<th>Intervention and (Outcome)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M, 74 yr</td>
<td>Medicine/E3</td>
<td>Nov 26, 2009</td>
<td>COAD, adjustment disorder</td>
<td>Dec 4, 2009 (week 48)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>From a patient with community-acquired norovirus infection, cross-infection during nasogastric tube feeding; reinforce contact precautions and hand hygiene (no secondary case)</td>
<td>Dispose syringe for aspiration daily; rinse feeding tube at bedside; reinforce contact precautions and hand hygiene (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F, 52 yr</td>
<td>Medicine/E6</td>
<td>Sep 30, 2009</td>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
<td>Dec 12, 2009 (week 49)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>From food items consumed by patient</td>
<td>Patient education; reinforce contact precautions and hand hygiene (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F, 74 yr</td>
<td>High-dependence unit/B7</td>
<td>Dec 18, 2009</td>
<td>Metastatic lung cancer</td>
<td>Dec 23, 2009 (week 51)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Possible staff-to-patient transmission</td>
<td>Staff education to reinforce personal hygiene and perform hand hygiene before patient contact (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F, 1 mo</td>
<td>Pediatric/K10B</td>
<td>Dec 6, 2009</td>
<td>Prematurity</td>
<td>Dec 28, 2010 (week 52)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>Possible staff-to-patient transmission</td>
<td>Single room isolation; staff education of not vomiting into the washing basin; extensive environmental disinfection (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M, 1 yr</td>
<td>Pediatric surgery/K15</td>
<td>Dec 23, 2009</td>
<td>Intraspinal tumor</td>
<td>Dec 29, 2009 (week 52)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>From patient’s mother who had diarrheal illness</td>
<td>Single room isolation, parent education; reminded parents not to visit other patients in the ward; DOHH to parents (transmitted to case 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M, 6 mo</td>
<td>Pediatric surgery/K15</td>
<td>Oct 7, 2009</td>
<td>Esophageal atresia</td>
<td>Dec 31, 2009 (week 52)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>From case 5 and possibly via healthcare workers</td>
<td>Single room isolation, DOHH to parents; staff education to reinforce contact precautions and hand hygiene; remove gloves immediately after diaper change (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F, 91 yr</td>
<td>Medicine/B1</td>
<td>Dec 29, 2009</td>
<td>CHF, schizophrenia</td>
<td>Jan 3, 2010 (week 1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Possible staff-to-patient transmission</td>
<td>Staff education to reinforce personal hygiene, adhere to contact precautions, and hand hygiene (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M, 3 yr</td>
<td>Cardiac surgery/D4</td>
<td>Jan 5, 2010</td>
<td>Tracheoplasty</td>
<td>Jan 12, 2010 (week 2)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>From a patient with community-acquired norovirus infection, cross-infection during gastric feeding by reused feeding syringes that were rinsed at washing basin</td>
<td>All feeding syringes should be single used; no sharing of washing basins; parent education to clean the washing basin after use; staff education to reinforce contact precautions, and hand hygiene (no secondary case)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** AT, added test; CHF, congestive heart failure; COAD, chronic obstructive airways disease; DOHH, directly observed hand hygiene; F, female; M, male; OT, ordered test.
Table 2. Nosocomial Outbreak of Norovirus Infection in the Public Hospitals in Hong Kong during Winter 2009–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outbreak number</th>
<th>Hospital, network</th>
<th>Clinical unit</th>
<th>Date of outbreak</th>
<th>Duration of outbreak, days</th>
<th>No. of infected patients/no. of exposed patients</th>
<th>Clinical attack rate among patients, %</th>
<th>No. of infected staff/no. of exposed staff</th>
<th>Clinical attack rate among staff, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A, B</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Oct 29–Nov 12, 2009</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7/42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C, D</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Nov 19–Dec 3, 2009</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10/24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3/30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>E, F</td>
<td>Orthopedic</td>
<td>Dec 3–14, 2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10/36</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0/41</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>E, F</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Dec 4–15, 2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9/52</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1/28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F, G</td>
<td>Psychiatry</td>
<td>Dec 20–31, 2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12/31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0/31</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>H, D</td>
<td>General medicine</td>
<td>Dec 21–24, 2009</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10/46</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0/58</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>I, G</td>
<td>General medicine</td>
<td>Dec 26, 2009–Jan 2, 2010</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6/40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0/40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>J, G</td>
<td>Tuberculosis and chest</td>
<td>Jan 5–15, 2010</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8/31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3/31</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>E, F</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Jan 9–20, 2010</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13/39</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1/31</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>H, D</td>
<td>Integrated ward</td>
<td>Jan 12–18, 2010</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3/16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0/45</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>E, F</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Jan 18–Feb 4, 2010</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15/36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0/28</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>113/444</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>21/478</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients were admitted into general medical wards (111 [46%]), pediatric wards (76 [31%]), surgical wards (21 [9%]), emergency admission wards (21 [9%]), high dependence unit (7 [3%]), orthopedic wards (3 [1%]), and obstetric wards (3 [1%]). The epidemic of norovirus peaked during week 47 of 2009, when the incidence density reached 5.25 cases per 1,000 patient-days with 78 potentially infectious patient-days (Figure 2). Our infection control nurses assessed all 242 patients with norovirus infection admitted to 37 different wards at the bedside and confirmed that all patients were having symptomatic diarrhea when fecal specimens were collected for examination. Seventy-four patients had been cared for in isolation facilities upon clinical assessment, while 168 patients were managed in general wards, accounting for 496 potentially infectious patient-days with a mean duration of 3.1 ± 1.1 days. Most of the patients (234 [96.7%]) had community-acquired infection; 8 (3.3%) had hospital-acquired infection (Table 1). Five (63%) of 8 patients had infection diagnosed by the added test. Eight episodes of hospital-acquired infection in 496 potentially infectious patient-days were documented. Using the data from the corresponding period (November–February) of the preceding 12 months as historical control, there were 11 episodes of hospital-acquired infection among 24 nonisolated patients in 84 potentially infectious patient-days (mean number of potentially infectious patient-days, 3.8 ± 3.7 days). The incidence of hospital-acquired infection decreased from 131 to 16 cases per 1,000 potentially infectious patient-days (P < .001). Assuming that added tests were not provided to hospital network A patients during this period, there were only 3 hospital-acquired norovirus infections with 173 potentially infectious patient-days. Even then, the incidence of hospital-acquired infection (15 cases per 1,000 potentially infectious patient-days) was still significantly lower than that of the historical control period (131 cases per 1,000 potentially infectious patient-days) (P < .001). No nosocomial outbreak was reported during the study period.

Nosocomial Outbreak of Norovirus in Hong Kong

If we exclude hospital network A, where the strategic infection control measures against norovirus infection prevented any nosocomial outbreak of norovirus infection, there were 13 episodes of nosocomial outbreak of norovirus infection reported in the other 6 hospital networks (B–G) in the winter of year 2009, which could be served as a concurrent control (Table 2). Ten (24%) out of 41 public hospitals in Hong Kong were affected, involving acute medical, surgical, pediatric, orthopedic, psychiatric, and chronic rehabilitation units. In hospital E, 3 repeated outbreaks were observed. A total of 113 patients and 21 hospital staff members were infected, with the clinical attack rates ranging from 15% to 42% and from 3% to 22%, respectively. No visitors were involved. The median duration of the nosocomial outbreak was 12 days (4–18 days). Nine (69%) of 13 nosocomial outbreaks were reported in November and December 2009. The overall incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection was 0.06 cases per 1,000 patient-days among 6 hospital networks (B–G), which was significantly higher than that of hospital network A (0.03 cases per 1,000 patient-days) (P = .015).

Molecular Diagnosis and Phylogenetic Analysis of Norovirus

Forty-six (19%) out of 242 strains of norovirus isolated during the study period were selected for sequencing (Figure
Figure 3. Phylogenetic dendrogram of norovirus. A, Phylogenetic dendrogram generated based on the ORF 1/2 junction region of norovirus using novel sequences in isolates from this study (n = 46) and GenBank (n = 20); Norwalk/1968/US was used as the outgroup. B, A further subtree generated from the genotype group II.4 variants (n = 43) and GenBank (n = 20). Numbers on the branches represent bootstrap values after 1,000 replications, and bootstrap values ≥50 are shown. An asterisk represents the strains collected from patients with hospital-acquired infection. A number sign represents the 470491 strain collected from index patients as a source of nosocomial patient-to-patient transmission.
Except for 3 patients with community-acquired norovirus due to genogroup II.2, genogroup II.3, all other patients' isolates belonged to genogroup II.4 variant, of which 4 isolates were closed to genogroup II.4 variant 2006b and 39 isolates, including 6 isolates from patients with nosocomial onset of diarrhea, were closed to the 2008 variant.9 (Figure 3B).

**Discussion**

Norovirus has caused numerous outbreaks, affecting about 25% of all public hospitals in Hong Kong during the winter months of 2009, involving all clinical disciplines from acute to long-term patient care areas. With the implementation of strategic infection control measures in Queen Mary Hospital and its 4 network hospitals in 2009, we were able to prevent any nosocomial outbreak of norovirus infection and reduce the incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection from 131 to 16 cases per 1,000 potentially infectious patient-days. The concept of using an added test for early recognition of any index case was simple but innovative. Without affecting the clinical workflow of the frontline medical and nursing staff, all fecal specimens referred to the microbiology laboratory were tested for norovirus RT-PCR, regardless of the clinician's request. The provision of rapid laboratory diagnostic testing facilitated timely intervention by our infection control team, who provided advice as to patient placement, isolation precautions, and thorough environmental disinfection with sodium hypochlorite (1,000 ppm), thus preventing an outbreak.

The overall compliance with standard infection control practice was satisfactory among our frontline healthcare workers, especially after the intensive training in infection control during the emergence of pandemic influenza in mid-2009 in Hong Kong.9 The rate of compliance with hand hygiene practice approached 70% in November 2009 among all ranks of healthcare workers, and the consumption of alcohol-based hand rub solution increased even before our implementation of enhanced infection control measures. In this connection, none of the healthcare workers reported diarrhea after caring for the infected patients upon contact tracing. In addition, directly observed hand hygiene (DOHH) practice, which has proved effective in outbreak prevention and control,17,18 was further enforced by our infection control nurses when there was any evidence of nosocomial acquisition of norovirus. This might be useful in preventing outbreaks evolving from episodic cases. However, the use of alcohol-based hand rub has been questioned in the control and prevention of norovirus, a nonenveloped RNA virus, especially if the concentration of ethanol is less than 80% and the contact time is less than 20 seconds.19,20 Although the WHO formulation of alcohol-based hand rub, formula I containing ethanol (80% vol/vol), has been used in our center, further investigation is needed to examine the effectiveness of alcohol-based hand rub against norovirus infection.

Molecular sequencing of norovirus isolated during the winter of 2009 demonstrated a predominant strain of genotype I.4 variant. This epidemic strain has emerged in the United States and European countries since 2002 and arrived in Hong Kong in 2006, resulting in an increased number of nosocomial outbreaks.2,21 It is the first demonstration that nosocomial transmission of this epidemic strain of norovirus can be prevented by the implementation of strategic infection control measures.

Cost-effective analysis based on previous studies in Hong Kong showed that the minimum cost of each nosocomial outbreak of norovirus in Hong Kong is around HK$311,580 (US$40,000).4 The minimum cost of 13 reported nosocomial outbreaks in Hong Kong is HK$4,050,540 (US$520,000). Since the extra cost for the strategic infection control measures is the added test for rapid molecular diagnostic of norovirus, HK$200 (US$25) per test, the minimum cost for added tests during the winter in Hong Kong is HK$1,400,000 (US$180,000) (approximately 1,000 added tests per hospital network times 7 networks in Hong Kong times HK$200). Performing these added tests would result in a potential savings of around HK$2,600,000 (US$340,000).

There are several limitations in this study. First, the number of hospital-acquired norovirus infections in our cohort was low, and therefore the risk factors for nosocomial transmission of norovirus cannot be investigated from a statistical perspective. However, the risk factors of nosocomial acquisition of norovirus have been well reported; lapses in infection control practice in nonisolation facilities and introduction of infection by a contaminated food source or symptomatic healthcare workers were found to be important risk factors.22-27 In fact, all of our hospital-acquired norovirus infections occurred because of one of the reasons mentioned above. Second, the incidence of hospital-acquired norovirus infection in the study and historical control periods should be carefully interpreted because of the difference in case finding which might potentially introduce bias in the concurrent comparison of hospital-acquired norovirus infection between different hospital networks. The total number of nosocomial norovirus outbreaks in Hong Kong during the study period might be underestimated because private hospitals are not required to notify the Hospital Authority of an outbreak. However, the impact is probably trivial because the public hospital system serves more than 90% of Hong Kong’s population. Frequent reports of norovirus outbreaks in public hospitals involving a wide range of clinical specialties indicated that the promotion of our strategic infection control measures is justified to decrease nosocomial transmission of norovirus.
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