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Statistics of Wigner delay time in Anderson disordered systems
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We numerically investigate the statistical properties of Wigner delay time in Anderson disordered 1D, 2D, and quantum dot (QD) systems. The distribution of proper delay time for each conducting channel is found to be universal in 2D and QD systems for all Dyson’s symmetry classes and shows a piecewise-power-law behavior in the strongly localized regime. Two power-law behaviors were identified with asymptotical scaling $\tau^{-1.5}$ and $\tau^{-2}$, respectively, that are independent of the number of conducting channels and Dyson’s symmetry class. Two power-law regimes are separated by the relevant time scale $\tau_0 \sim h/\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the average level spacing. It is found that the existence of necklace states is responsible for the second power-law behavior $\tau^{-2}$, which has an extremely small distribution probability.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering works, the problem of quantum mechanical scattering has attracted intensive research interest in many fields. The dynamical aspect of the scattering process can be characterized using the energy derivatives of the scattering matrix, known as the Wigner delay time $\tau$. Wigner delay time measures the time spent by the center of a wave packet in the scattering region, which is simply related to its group velocity. Since $\tau$ is critically dependent on the transport process, it is not self-averaging. Hence a complete distribution is required to fully comprehend this quantity in classical chaotic or quantum disordered systems.

The distribution of delay time in a 1D system has been thoroughly studied both theoretically and experimentally. Texier and Comtet showed by various methods the universal behavior in symmetry classes $\beta = 1, 2, 4$. Study of the quasi-1D system also reveals a power-law tail at large time. The existence of this $\tau^{-2}$ power-law tail is attributed to the presence of the Azbel resonance.

Investigation has been carried out on a chaotic 2D system with a single conducting channel, and a compact form of delay time distribution for all Dyson’s symmetries was derived. For 2D systems with multichannels, most of the theoretical works are based on the random matrix theory (RMT). A simple expression of $P_\beta(\tau)$ for different Dyson’s symmetry classes has been derived at the ideal coupling condition, which has a $\chi^2$ distribution with $\beta(N + 1)$ degrees of freedom where $\beta$ is the symmetry index and $N$ the number of conducting channels. On the other hand, a $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-1.5}$ asymptotic behavior of the intermediate Wigner delay time in the diffusive regime was numerically obtained from the kicked rotor model (KR model). Starting from RMT, it was shown that a weakly open chaotic system with many open channels also exhibits the $\tau^{-1.5}$ power-law behavior in symmetry classes $\beta = 1, 2, 4$. Ossipov and Fyodorov found a general relation between the distribution probability of the delay time and that of the eigenfunction in the case of a single transmission channel which enables one to obtain information of the eigenfunction from the delay time and vice versa. From this relation it is argued that the $\tau^{-2}$ power-law tail of $P(\tau)$ is a common feature of localized systems, regardless of dimensions.

So far most of the studies of 2D system are theoretical works and focused mainly on the diffusive regime; less attention has been paid to the localized regime. It is the purpose of this paper to fill this gap. In this paper, we wish to explore the possibility of universal behavior of the distribution of the Wigner delay time $\tau$ in the localized regime beyond the 1D case.

In this paper, we carry out an extensive numerical investigation of the statistical properties of Wigner delay time $\tau$ in disordered systems with Anderson-type impurities for 1D, 2D, and quantum dot (QD) systems. For 1D systems, our results confirm that the distribution of the Wigner delay time follows a power-law behavior $\tau^{-2}$ in the localized regime. For 2D and QD systems, our results show that the distribution of the proper delay time of each conducting channel obeys a universal piecewise power law in the strongly localized regime that is independent of the number of channels and Dyson’s symmetry index $\beta$. For a scattering system, the characteristic time scale $\tau_0$ is related to the group velocity $v_g$ of the electron, i.e., $\tau_0 = L_0/v_g$, where $L_0$ is the characteristic length of the system that is proportional to the transverse dimension of the scattering region. When $\tau < \tau_0$, the distribution follows a power law $\tau^{-1.5}$ while for $\tau > \tau_0$ a new power law of $\tau^{-2}$ is obtained for the proper delay time. Our result indicates that the power law of $\tau^{-2}$ can only be observed in the localized regime. The physical origin of the new power-law behavior is the existence of the so-called Azbel resonant state or necklace state. This necklace state has a very long lifetime and is the multiresonant state inside the scattering region in the localized regime. When the incoming electron has $N$ conducting channels, the distribution for total delay time is given by $P(\sum \tau_i)$. Although $P(\tau_i)$ obeys the piecewise-power-law behavior for each proper delay time $\tau_i$, the distribution for total delay time follows a different power-law behavior. Our results show that as $N$ increases the power-law region for $\tau^{-1.5}$ becomes narrow and eventually diminishes for the large-$N$ limit while the the power-law region
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for $\tau^{-2}$ remains but shifts toward small $\tau$. Therefore, in the large-$N$ limit, only one power-law scaling of $\tau^{-2}$ survives in the localized regime. This conclusion is valid for 2D and QD systems for three different ensembles with $\beta = 1, 2, 4$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, a theoretical formalism as well as numerical implementation for calculating Wigner delay time is given. In Sec. III, extensive numerical results and analysis for distribution of Wigner delay time are presented for 1D, 2D, and QD systems with different symmetries ($\beta = 1, 2, 4$). Finally a brief summary is given in Sec. IV.

II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

Following Wigner and Smith, the Wigner-Smith delay time matrix is defined in terms of the scattering matrix $S$ as

$$Q(E) = -i\hbar S^\dagger(E) \frac{\partial S(E)}{\partial E}.$$  

The delay time $\tau$ is simply the summation of the diagonal elements of matrix $Q(E)$:

$$\tau = \text{Tr}[Q] = -i\hbar \text{Tr}\left[ S^\dagger \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right].$$  

(1)

From now on we will omit the energy dependence of the relevant quantities for simplicity. Supposing there are $N$ conducting channels, the eigenvalues of delay time matrix $Q$ are called the proper delay times, $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$, which can be viewed as the contribution to the total delay time $\tau$ from the corresponding conducting channel.

Three setups under investigation are schematically illustrated in Fig. 1, which are respectively (a) a 1D semi-infinite chain with length $L = 1000a$ lattice points; (b) a 2D system with $80 \times 80$ lattice sites connected to a single lead with width $W_0 = 80a$; (c) a quantum dot system with the same number of lattice points as that of the 2D system but with a lead of narrower width $W_0 = 20a$. Here $a$ is the lattice spacing between two adjacent sites, acting as the distance scale in the calculation. Most of numerical calculations were done using these parameters. In the present work we use the conventional nearest-neighbor tight-binding approximation and Green’s function formalism to numerically study these systems. All these geometries are connected by only one semi-infinite lead to the electron reservoir, which ensures the unity of the scattering matrix since all electrons incident will be reflected back into the reservoir in these one-led systems.

Assuming that our 2D system is in the $x$-$y$ plane, in the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field and Rashba spin-orbit coupling interaction, the generalized Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2m^*} \left[ p + eA \right]^2 + V + \mu B \cdot \sigma$$

$$+ \frac{t_{SO}}{\hbar} \sigma \times \left( p + eA \right) + \text{H.c.},$$  

(2)

where $p$ is the momentum and $m^*$ the effective mass of the electron. Here $V$ is the confining potential that is set to zero inside the device and infinity at the boundary of the device except at the interface of the lead. The vector potential $A$ due to the magnetic field is expressed as $A = (-By, 0, 0)$ under Landau gauge with $B$ the magnetic field. $\sigma$ is the Pauli matrix and $t_{SO}$ is the strength of spin-orbit coupling. $\mu = g \mu_B/2$ is the magnetic moment, with $g = 4$ the Lande $g$ factor and $\mu_B$ the Bohr magneton. The tight-binding Hamiltonian with nearest-neighbor hopping has the expression

$$H = \sum_{n\sigma} (\epsilon_{nn} + \epsilon_{nm}) c_{n\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{m\sigma}$$

$$- i \sum_{n\sigma} [c_{n+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{nm} e^{-i\phi} + c_{n-1,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{nm} + \text{H.c.} ]$$

$$- t_{SO} \sum_{nm\sigma} [ (c_{n+1,\sigma}^{\dagger} i(\sigma)_{\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{nm}^{\dagger} c_{nm}^{\dagger} e^{-i\phi} + \text{H.c.} ],$$

where $c_{n\sigma}^{\dagger}(c_{nm})$ is the creation (annihilation) operator for an electron on lattice site $(n,m)$. $\epsilon_{nm}$ represents the on-site energy, with magnitude $2t$ for a 1D chain and $4t$ for a 2D square lattice. Here $t = \hbar^2/2m^*a^2$ is the nearest-neighbor hopping energy, which is the energy scale in this work. Disorder energy $\epsilon_{nm}$, which is Anderson type with a uniform distribution in the interval $[-W/2, W/2]$, is added to the on-site energy $\epsilon_{nm}$ with $W$ the disorder strength.

Based on the tight-binding Hamiltonian, the retarded Green’s function in real space is defined as

$$G'(E) = (E - H - \Sigma')^{-1},$$

where $\Sigma'$ is the self-energy of the lead, which can be calculated by a transfer matrix method. $E$ is the electron Fermi energy and chosen to be at the center of the corresponding subband. With the Fisher-Lee relation which connects the scattering matrix and the Green’s function, the delay time $\tau$ is rewritten in terms of $G'$ as

$$\tau = -i\hbar \text{Tr}\left[ S^\dagger \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} \right] = \hbar \text{Tr}[G' \Gamma G' \dagger].$$  

(3)

where $G'$ is the advanced Green’s function, $G' = (G')^\dagger$, and $\Gamma$ is the linewidth function describing coupling of the lead to the scattering region which is given by $\Gamma' = i[\Sigma' - \Sigma''].$ In the presence of large disorders, the system can either be in diffusive or localized regimes depending on the dimensionless localization length $\xi/L$. In the localized regime, the localization length can be defined as $\langle G \rangle = C \exp(-2L/\xi)$ with $G$ the conductance and $C$ a constant to be determined. To
eliminate $C$, the localization length $\xi$ at a particular disorder strength can be obtained from

$$\xi = \frac{2L_2 - 2L_1}{\ln(G_1) - \ln(G_2)}. \quad (4)$$

From Eq. (3), it is clear that the calculation of Wigner delay time is equivalent to that of density of states. In our numerical calculation, the real-space Green’s function can be obtained by matrix inversion which is very time consuming. For delay time, one only needs the first $N_{\text{lead}}$ columns of Green’s function where $N_{\text{lead}}$ is the dimension of the line width function $\Gamma$. The transfer matrix method is suitable for this purpose and is fast. To study the statistics of $\tau$, we need to generate an ensemble of different realizations of the disordered systems. As we will show in the next section, the distribution of $\tau$ has a new power law for large delay time $\tau > \tau_0$. These large delay times correspond to rare events with extremely small probability, which means that to study the new power-law regime of $P(\tau)$ a large configuration ensemble is necessary. Therefore intensive computation is required to accumulate enough data for statistical analysis. To speed up the calculation, we can rewrite the linewidth function

$$\Gamma = i[\Sigma' - \Sigma^a] = \sum_i |W_i\rangle\langle W_i|,$$

where $N$ is the number of conducting channels in the lead and $|W_i\rangle$ is the renormalized eigenfunction of $\Gamma$. Then the delay time can be expressed as

$$\tau = \hbar \text{Tr}[G'\Gamma G^a] = \hbar \sum_i (G' |W_i\rangle\langle W_i|G')^\dagger. \quad (5)$$

This representation can speed up the numerical calculation and also enables one to calculate the proper delay time $\tau_i$ from the $i$th conducting channel. Our results show that using the $LU$ decomposition with a multifrontal algorithm to solve the linear equation $(E - H - \Sigma')\psi = |W_i\rangle$ is faster than the transfer matrix method especially for a large system size such as $100 \times 100$. So far we have discussed the algorithm to study the statistics of $\tau$. The numerical results and relevant discussion are the content of the next section.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we will present our numerical results. To test our code, we first show results of 1D systems with orthogonal symmetry, in which case the distribution of $\tau$ has been studied thoroughly. Then in the following two subsections, we shall discuss in detail the cases of 2D and QD systems with different symmetries and number of conducting channels.

A. 1D tight-binding chain

For the 1D system, Texier and Comtet derived an analytic expression of the distribution of Wigner delay time in the localized regime for high energies or weak disorder strengths. It was found that $P(\tau)$ has an algebraic tail in the localized regime: \cite{11,30}

$$P(\tau) = \frac{\xi}{\nu \tau^2} e^{-\xi/\nu \tau}, \quad (6)$$

where $\xi$ is the localization length and $\nu$ is the group velocity. They also found numerically that in the ballistic regime $P(\tau)$ obeys a Gaussian distribution. The disorder used in their numerical simulation is the $\delta$ potential with random position in the system.

We have calculated the delay time distribution for a 1D tight-binding chain in both the ballistic regime and the localized regime at a relative high energy $E = 1.0$ with disorder strength $W = 0.01$ and $W = 1.1$, respectively. The result is shown in Fig. 2. Clearly the delay time distribution has a Gaussian shape at weak disorder $W = 0.01$ when $L \ll \xi$ (see inset of Fig. 2). As $W$ increases, $P(\tau)$ transforms gradually from a symmetric Gaussian distribution to a one-sided distribution. \cite{42} To make the behavior more transparent, one may change the variable from $\tau$ to its natural logarithm. Take Eq. (6) as an example. When we use $\ln \tau$ as the variable, $P(\ln \tau) = \tau P(\tau)$, and taking the logarithm at both sides, one arrives at

$$\ln P(\ln \tau) = \ln \frac{\xi}{\nu \tau^2} - \ln \tau - \ln \frac{1}{\nu \tau}. \quad (7)$$

The first term in the above expression is a constant for a specific disorder strength and the last term tends to zero when $\tau$ is very large. As a result, a linear tail arises in the $\ln P(\ln \tau)-\ln \tau$ curve. In Fig. 2 we see that the linear tail of $\ln P(\ln \tau)$ at disorder strength $W = 1.1$, where the system is already localized ($L \gg \xi$), can be well fitted by a straight line with a slope $-1$, which implies that $P(\tau) \propto 1/\tau^2$ in the large-$\tau$ region. We notice that there is a departure from the straight line at the end of the distribution curve. This departure can be improved by using more configurations, since the larger the $\tau$, the smaller its occurrence probability. We will explain the origin of these large $\tau$ in the next subsection.

To summarize briefly, our numerical results for the Anderson-disordered 1D system are consistent with the conclusion of Texier and Comtet, \cite{3} which confirms the universality of the $1/\tau^2$ power-law tail.
Based on Eq. (7) and the argument therein, we plot the logarithm of the distribution of \( \ln \tau \) including the long delay time tail, we change the variable \( W \) at the small delay time regime, as shown in panel (b) with increases, ballistic regime the distribution of Wigner delay time \( E \) the electron is chosen to be at the center of the first subband, \( W \) a natural Gaussian shape at a weak disorder channel \( W \) region. In the diffusive regime, RMT predicts that \( \xi \) of \( \tau \) was confirmed by a KR model.28,29 Our numerical results for \( \frac{\xi}{L} \) shows26,27 a universal power-law behavior \( \tau \). From panel (a) of Fig. 3 it is obvious that in the \( \ln \tau \) drop to 0.005. To study such rare events, more and more configurations of disordered samples are required for statistic analysis to get an accurate result. For \( W = 40 \) we have used an ensemble of over 40000000 different realizations. To demonstrate that the distribution functions for \( W = 15, 25, 40 \) depicted in Fig. 3(a) are in the strongly localized regime, in the inset of panel (b) we plot the calculated dimensionless localization length as a function of disorder strength defined in Eq. (4). We see that the system is entering the localized regime at \( W = 5 \), i.e., \( \frac{\xi}{L} < 1 \), and is completely localized for \( W = 15, 25, 40 \).
Another point worth noticing is that the exponents of two power laws decrease slowly as disorder strength is increased. These two exponents versus disorder strength $W$ are plotted in panels (e) and (f), which converge toward $-1.5$ and $-2.0$, respectively. We note that the curve in panel (f) has larger error bars compared with that in panel (e). This is understandable since large $\tau$ is more difficult to sample. Since the computation becomes extremely time consuming it is very difficult if not impossible to obtain the plot shown in panels (e) and (f) for large disorder strengths. Hence we decide to calculate $P(\tau)$ for a particular disorder strength which is large enough to determine the exponents for both power-law behaviors. After some trial and error, we found that the disorder strength $W = 100$ is appropriate for this purpose. The exponent of the power law in the intermediate region is already converged at such a value of $W$ and does not change upon further increasing the disorder strength to $W = 200$. To get a clear view of the tail with small probability, a large ensemble of $420 \times 10^6$ configurations is accumulated, and the analyzed data are shown in Fig. 4. Clearly the algebraic tail where $\tau > \tau_0$ is invisible in the histogram of panel (a), since it accounts for only $0.186\%$ in the ensemble. From panel (b) one clearly sees that the first power law of $\ln P(\ln \tau)$ corresponds to power law $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-1.5}$. After a transition region around $\tau = \tau_0$ shown by the blue circle in Fig. 4, the second power-law distribution is found to be a power-law tail $P(\tau) \sim \tau^{-2}$. The fluctuation at the end of the tail arises because there are not enough configurations. In such a strongly localized regime with $W = 100$, the delay time with magnitude $\ln \tau > 15$ often has several configurations out of the total $420 \times 10^6$ ensemble.

The power-law distribution $\tau^{-1.5}$ has already been predicted by RMT and was also confirmed numerically using the KR model in the diffusive regime. Our results show that this power law $\tau^{-1.5}$ for each proper delay time exists for any $N$ where $N$ is the number of conducting channels (also see numerical results presented below). In addition, this behavior persists in the strongly localized regime. Importantly, the $\tau^{-2}$ algebraic tail is a feature that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been reported before in 2D systems. The physical origin of this power-law behavior is due to the Azbel resonance states or necklace states, which are nonlocalized states in localized systems. Since in the localized regime most of the electron states are localized, these necklace states or Azbel resonances survive through multiple resonances. These states are very rare events in the disordered samples so that they have extremely small probability to occur. For example, in the above calculation with $N = 1$ and $W = 100$, the probability for those states with $\ln \tau > \ln \tau_0 = 6.45$ is $0.186\%$. Despite of their rare nature, these states can play a significant role in the distribution function of delay time and dominate in the density of states in disordered systems. This is because a necklace state experiences multiple resonant scattering giving rise to a large density of states. For instance, emittance calculations in disordered 1D, 2D, and QD systems show that due to the necklace states, the average emittance remains negative in the localized regime. In fact, the existence of necklace states has already been observed through optical experiments in a quasi-1D system. The fact that the signature of necklace states is observed again in disordered 2D systems with a single lead indicates that the nonlocalized necklace states are generic in strongly disordered systems, although it is difficult to see them. In the previous study of the 1D disordered system, this $\tau^{-2}$ power-law tail was also attributed to the presence of the Azbel resonance. As one can see in the next paragraph, the physical picture of the Azbel resonance or necklace states is much more clear in 2D disordered systems.

To check the size effect of this two-power-law behavior, we have examined five different system sizes, and the distributions of the delay time are shown in Fig. 5. It is clear that in the strongly localized regime, the characteristic time scale $\tau_0$ is solely determined by the transverse dimension of the system rather than the longitudinal dimension. From Fig. 5 we can conclude that the difference of distribution of delay
time between 2D and 1D systems arises from the finite width of the 2D system. All the transition regions agree well with the theoretical values, $\tau_0 = L_0/v_F$, where $L_0$ is 40, 80, and 120, respectively. The corresponding energies for incident electrons of these systems are $E = 0.015, 0.004$, and 0.0015. According to this picture, we see that in the strongly localized regime, most of electrons cannot penetrate deeply into the disordered scattering region and they move transversely along its edge. However, a small portion of incident electrons can dwell in the scattering region for a long time due to the resonant tunneling. This resonant behavior is the fingerprint of the Azbel resonance or necklace states. Obviously, as the transverse dimension increases, the $\tau_0$ increases. In other words, it is more difficult to observe the region of $\tau^{-2}$ for large transverse dimensions. In the above calculation at disorder strength $W = 50$, the distribution probabilities $P(\tau)$ for system sizes $40 \times 40$, $80 \times 40$, and $120 \times 40$ are respectively $0.76\%$, $0.39\%$, and $0.23\%$. On the opposite side, if the transverse dimension is reduced, $\tau_0$ becomes smaller and smaller. Upon the limit of zero transverse dimension, i.e., the 1D system, the $\tau^{-1.5}$ intermediate region will vanish and only the $\tau^{-2}$ tail survives, which is exactly the conclusion we arrive at in the 1D case.

Next we consider the case of two conducting channels ($N = 2$) in a system with $\beta = 1$. Here electron Fermi energy is fixed at the center of the second subband, where $E = 0.01$. Since the group velocities of electrons incident from different subbands are different, proper delay times for different conducting channels are not statistically equivalent. Hence the properties of the proper delay time $\tau_i$, which describes the scattering of electron of the $i$th channel, as well as the total Wigner delay time $\tau = \sum_i^N \tau_i$, are studied separately. To save computational time, we calculated the distribution of relevant times only at a large disorder strength $W = 100$. As we have seen above, at this $W$ $P(\tau)$ of $N = 1$ converges to the piecewise-power-law ($\tau^{-1.5}$ and $\tau^{-2}$) behavior. The statistically analyzed result upon an ensemble of 150 000 000 configurations is shown in Fig. 6. To separate the curves we zoom in the picture around two particular areas of the two power-law regions, which are highlighted in the insets.

From Fig. 6 it is clear that proper delay times $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ follow the same distribution with different constants $[P(\tau_{1/2}) \sim C_{1/2}\tau^\alpha$ with $\alpha = -1.5$ or $-2]$. It shows that the proper delay times of the first and second subbands are statistically independent. Since the total delay time $\tau$ is the summation of $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, it is not surprising that the distribution of total delay time $\tau$ keeps the essential feature of the individual proper delay time. Clearly all three curves show piecewise-power-law behaviors, which is similar to the case of a single channel $N = 1$ (see Fig. 4). In view of the distribution for systems with $N = 1$ and $N = 2$, we expect that the delay time distribution in the multichannel case ($N \geq 2$) also show piecewise-power-law behavior. However the transition region in the distribution curve of $\tau$ is broadened due to the overlap of $P(\tau_1)$ and $P(\tau_2)$. As the channel number increases, this may lead to a significant change of the distribution form, as will be discussed in detail below.

Numerical results for more conducting channels cases $N = 10$ and 35 within the orthogonal ensemble ($\beta = 1$) are shown in Fig. 7. The following observations are in order. (1) For a strong disorder strength $W = 200$, statistical analysis on the distribution of proper delay times at $N = 10$ shows that the individual $\tau_i$ exhibits a piecewise-power-law behavior with two scalings $\tau^{-1.5}$ and $\tau^{-2}$, similar to that of the proper delay time in the $N = 2$ case. Finally, the total delay time distribution $P(\tau)$ at $N = 10$ given by the superposition of all the $P(\tau_i)$

\begin{align*}
\text{FIG. 6. (Color online) Distributions of proper delay time }\tau_1 \text{ and } \tau_2 \text{ and the total } \tau \text{ at disorder strength } W = 100 \text{ in the case of 2 conducting channels. Inset panel (a) highlights the first power-law region of distribution with a blue-dash } \tau^{-1.5} \text{ curve, while panel (b) for the algebraic tail with a purple-dash-dot-dash } \tau^{-2} \text{ curve. Ensemble size is 150 000 000.}
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\text{FIG. 7. (Color online) Panel (a) shows the distribution of proper delay time }\tau_1, \tau_2, \text{ and } \tau_0 \text{ as well as the total delay time } \tau \text{ at } W = 200 \text{ with 10 conducting channels in the lead. Panel (b) contains the normalized distribution histogram and its logarithm correspondence of total delay time } \tau \text{ at } W = 50 \text{ for } N = 35.
\end{align*}
(i = 1, 2, ..., 10) results in the same behavior [see panel (a)]. We also note that due to the group velocity difference for different subbands, the transition point $t_{so} = L_0/v_g$ of the proper delay time distribution is not at the same position in the $\ln P(\ln \tau)$–$\ln \tau$ curve. The transition value of the proper delay time is the smallest for the first subband while the largest for the highest subband. The overlap of the proper delay time distribution causes the broadening of the transition region of the distribution $P(\tau)$. (2) Comparing the situations of $N = 2$ and $N = 10$ [Fig. 6 and panel (a) of Fig. 7], the broadening is more obvious at large $N$. When the number of conducting channels increases to $N = 35$, the broadening is so significant that it destroys the power-law behavior of $\tau^{-1.5}$ in the total delay time distribution although the $\tau^{-1.5}$ power law exists for each proper delay time distribution. Therefore our results suggest that in the large-$N$ limit, only the power law of $\tau^{-2}$ survives.

Now we turn to the systems with symmetry class $\beta = 2$ where the time-reversal symmetry is broken and $\beta = 4$ in the absence of spin-rotation symmetry. In our numerical calculation, the unitary symmetry class ($\beta = 2$) is realized by applying an external magnetic field while for symplectic symmetry ($\beta = 4$) we consider the spin-orbit coupling effect. In both unitary and symplectic cases we mainly numerically investigate the statistics of the Wigner delay time for a single conducting channel ($N = 1$) since the computation becomes extremely time consuming for 2D with $\beta = 2$ and 4. From the discussion in the orthogonal symmetry case, some intuition can be obtained of the distributions of delay time in the multichannel case. From Fig. 8 we see that there are clearly two power-law regions with exponents approaching $-1.5$ and $-2$ for total delay time distribution when $N = 1$ [panels (a) and (b)]. We have tested two different magnetic field strengths and they give similar results. In addition, the transition phenomena from one power law to another can also be seen from the insets of Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), which are different from the case with $\beta = 1$. We have checked that the proper delay time distribution follows piecewise-power-law behavior. From our experience in the orthogonal ensemble we expect that the $\tau^{-1.5}$ intermediate region will be destroyed at large $N$. Indeed, our results confirm this expectation [see panel (c) where $N = 20$ is investigated]. Except for this difference shown by the
shown in the graphs. The result of a large QD at \( \beta = 4 \) with a single conducting channel (also varied the strength of spin-orbit coupling \( t_{SO} \) symplectic symmetry, the distribution is similar to that of the system with reserved time-reversal symmetry \( N = 18 \) in orthogonal symmetry \( \beta = 1 \). Calculation parameters are shown in the graphs. The result of a large QD at \( \beta = 1 \) is presented in panel (d).

FIG. 9. (Color online) Quantum dot case. Panels (a) and (b) show the distribution of delay time for different symmetry classes \( \beta = 1,2, \) and 4) with a single conducting channel \( (N = 1) \). Panel (c) corresponds to \( N = 18 \) in orthogonal symmetry \( \beta = 1 \). Calculation parameters are shown in the graphs. The result of a large QD at \( \beta = 1 \) is presented in panel (d).

blue circles in Fig. 8, the delay time distribution is similar to that of the system with reserved time-reversal symmetry \( (\beta = 1) \) [panel (d) of Fig. 8]. Finally, in the case of symplectic symmetry, the distribution is similar to that of \( \beta = 1 \). We have also varied the strength of spin-orbit coupling \( t_{SO} \) and found that it does not affect the distribution behavior.

To briefly summarize our results for 2D systems, the Wigner delay time distribution in the 2D lattice system shows the piecewise-power-law feature in the strongly localized regime, with the power law converging to \( \tau^{-1.5} \) in the intermediate region and the algebraic tail obeying a different power law \( \tau^{-2} \) for a single conducting channel. The two power-law regions are separated by a clear transition region determined by \( \tau_0 \) in the distribution curve. For multiconducting channels, the distribution of each proper delay time is found to have the same power-law distribution as that of the delay time \( \tau \) for \( N = 1 \). For a few conducting channels, the distribution of total Wigner delay time behaves like that of a single channel. When \( N \) is large, however, the superposition of each proper delay time distribution gives rise to a broad transition region that gradually destroys the \( \tau^{-1.5} \) power-law region. In the large-\( N \) limit, only one power-law region exists which corresponds to \( \tau^{-2} \). These features seem to be independent of symmetry class of 2D systems, whether it is orthogonal, unitary, or symplectic.

C. Quantum dot system

The quantum dot (QD) system consists of a square scattering region and single lead attached at the right side with 1/4 width of the scattering region, as shown in Fig. 1. The numerical results depicted in Fig. 9 show that the conclusions obtained in the 2D system are also applicable to the QD case. In Figs. 9(a) and 9(b), we see that the piecewise-power-law behavior is observed again in all Dyson’s symmetry classes for the case of \( N = 1 \). For \( \beta = 1 \) and \( N = 18 \), we see that the power law \( \tau^{-1.5} \) no longer exists, which is similar to the situation in 2D systems. A slight difference compared to the 2D case is that there is an additional peak in the distribution of delay time \( P(\tau) \) vs \( \tau \) that indicates the onset of the power-law region of \( \tau^{-2} \) [see panel (c) of Fig. 9]. To check the size effect of the distribution, we have examined a larger quantum dot system with a size of \( L = 100 \) and lead width \( W_0 = 10 \). The numerical results for \( \beta = 1 \) and \( N = 1 \) are shown in Fig. 9(b), and similar conclusions can be drawn.
IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we numerically investigate the statistical properties of the Wigner delay time in Anderson disordered 1D, 2D, and quantum dot systems for different symmetry classes $\beta = 1, 2, 4$. The proper delay time distribution is found to be universal in the strongly localized regime for 2D and QD systems and shows a piecewise-power-law behavior. In addition to the known power-law scaling region $\tau^{-1.5}$, a power-law region of $\tau^{-2}$ is identified in the localized regime, which is independent of the number of conducting channels $N$ and Dyson’s symmetry classes $\beta$. Our results indicate that the existence of necklace states is responsible for the $\tau^{-2}$ algebraic tail, which are rare events and have an extremely small distribution probability. The total delay time distribution can behave differently. For a few conducting channels, there is a crossover region from one power-law region to another. As the number of conducting channels $N$ increases, this crossover region broadens and the power-law region of $\tau^{-1.5}$ becomes narrow. In the large-$N$ limit, the power-law of $\tau^{-1.5}$ is destroyed due to the broadening of the crossover region and only the $\tau^{-2}$ algebraic tail survives.
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