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Test conditions, such as shearing rate and normal stress, have been long recognised as influencing the measured shear strength of clays and sands. However, their influence on composite soils, which have a wide range of particle sizes, has attracted much less attention from researchers. In this study, a total of 35 direct shear tests at different shearing rates under different normal stresses were conducted on specimens prepared by mixing different proportions of kaolin and glass beads. The changes in volume and water content of sheared specimens and the mesostructure of shear surfaces were studied. The results reveal a positive correlation between volume change and deviation of water content between the shear zone and outer zones, suggesting that the shear-induced volume change occurred primarily in the shear zones. Moreover, high normal stress and low shearing rate produced a relatively small void ratio in the shear zones, facilitating volumetric contraction of the specimen and the development of polished and evenly slickensided shear surfaces. In addition, the residual friction angle of the specimens tested was found to decrease with the increase in normal stress. The high shearing rate caused an increase in residual strength in specimens with low fines fraction, and reduced it in specimens with high fines fraction.

Notation

- $A_i$: initial contact area
- $B$: pore pressure coefficient
- $K$: empirical coefficient in Equation 1
- $(1 - m_i)$: slope of line of $\log(\tan[\phi'_R]/(\phi'_R))$ against $\log(\sigma'_n/\sigma'_n)$
- $W$: width of soil specimen perpendicular to shearing direction
- $\gamma$: vertical displacement
- $\delta$: deviation of water content
- $\delta_h$: horizontal displacement
- $\sigma$: normal stress
- $\sigma'_n$: effective normal stress
- $\sigma'_p$: pre-consolidated pressure
- $\tau$: shear stress
- $\tau_i$: measured shear strength
- $\tau'_R$: residual shear strength
- $\phi_R$: residual friction angle
- $[\phi'_R]$, secant residual friction angle
- $[\phi'_R]_p$: secant residual friction angle at $\sigma'_n = \sigma'_p$

1. Introduction

Understanding the effects of shearing rate on the constitutive behaviour of soil is crucially important for accurate interpretation and application of laboratory test results, and also for the need to accelerate commercial testing procedures to reduce costs (Kakou et al., 2001; Skempton and Petley, 1967). Since the early 1960s, many investigations in soil and rock mechanics have focused on this particular dependence. However, the reported effects of shearing rates on residual shear strength are highly controversial (Bernander et al., 1985; Horn and Deere, 1962; Idriss, 1985; La Gatta, 1970; Lemos, 1986; Li and Aydin, 2010; Martins, 1983; Parathiras, 1994; Suzuki et al., 2001; Tika, 1989). Basing on strain-controlled reversal direct shear tests on London clay, Petley (1966) reported a minimal increase (~4%) of the residual shear strength when the shearing rate was...
increased from $4 \times 10^{-5}$ to 11.0 mm/min. However, Skempton (1985) indicated negligible changes in residual shear strength in clays sheared at rates between 0.002 and 0.01 mm/min. For granular materials, Hungr and Morgenstern (1984) found that the residual shear strength of granular soils (sand and polystyrene beads) was independent of shearing rate. Sassa (1985) also concluded that the residual shear strength of granular materials was not affected by the rate of displacement, based on large-ring shear test results on glass beads. The results of work by Garga (1970), Kenney (1967), La Gatta (1970) and Cullen and Donald (1971), however, suggested an increase in residual shear strength of clayey soils with increase in shearing rate. Townsend and Gilbert (1974) reported that the residual shear strength of clays at a shearing rate of 14.6 mm/min was 24% higher than that at 0.0145 mm/min. Suzuki et al. (2001) also indicated the existence of a positive correlation between residual shear strength and shearing rate in kaolin, based on ring shear test results at shearing rates ranging from 0.02 to 2.0 mm/min. In contrast to these findings, De Beer (1967) found that the use of a lower shearing rate of 0.395 mm/h actually resulted in a higher residual shear strength relative to the higher rate of 2.1 mm/h for Boom clay. He attributed the increase in residual shear strength to the recovery of strength in the shear zone when the soil was sheared at a significantly slow rate. Bernander et al. (1985) also reported a decrease in residual shear strength with an increase in shearing rate in a study on the failure mechanism of rapid landslides.

The varying test results obtained for the relationship between the shearing rate and the residual shear strength of soil could plausibly be due to material texture and modes of shear deformation. Based on ring shear tests on artificial mixtures of medium sand and powdered mica, Lupini et al. (1981) reported observations on shear surfaces, and proposed three modes of residual shear behaviour: sliding, transitional and turbulent. They attributed the differential effects of shearing rates on residual shear strength to the shear mode, which is controlled by particle shape and the coefficient of interparticle friction. Specifically, the residual shear strength of soils would increase with shearing rate for the sliding shear mode and decrease for the turbulent shear mode. Lemos et al. (1985) reported that the residual shear strength of soils of high clay fraction (≥ 50% weight) increased and that of soils with low clay fraction (5–50%) decreased with an increase in shearing rate, whereas soils with a clay content less than 5% were not affected by the shearing rate. Lemos (1986) attributed the positive shearing rate effect to disturbance of the shear zone by massive particles, and the negative rate effect to an increase in porosity and water content in the shear zone. Parathiras (1994) argued that the effects of shearing rate were dependent on plasticity index and clay fraction, as he observed that the negative shearing rate effects occurred only in non-plastic soils. Tika (1989) and Tika and Hutchinson (1999) suggested that the effects of shearing rate on residual shear strength were dependent more upon a combination of factors, such as the shear mode (sliding, transitional and turbulent), level of normal stress and soil index properties (clay fraction and plasticity), rather than on any particular factor alone.

Skempton and Petley (1967) indicated that the envelope of residual shear strength of London clay appeared to be non-linear when sheared under low normal stress ($\leq 50$ kPa). Based on the results of reversal direct shear tests on natural soils, Kenney (1967) observed that the residual shear strengths of natural soils were dependent on the magnitude of the effective normal stress. Townsend and Gilbert (1973) argued that the residual shear strength of clay shales was not affected by an effective normal stress greater than 150 kPa, based on results obtained from reversal direct shear and ring shear tests. Chattopadhyay (1972) put forward a non-linear relationship between effective normal stress $\sigma_n$ and residual friction angle $\phi_R$ as

$$\tan \phi_R = \frac{K \tau_i}{\sqrt{\sigma_n}}$$

where $\tau_i$ is the measured shear strength, and $K$ is an empirical coefficient. The results from drained ring shear tests on clay and clay shales by Stark and Eid (1994) reveal the existence of a non-linear drained residual shear strength envelope with a dependence on effective normal stress. Wan and Kwong (2002) also argued for a stress-dependent drained residual shear strength envelope, and suggested that the reduction of residual friction angle under higher consolidation stress was due to the fact that clay-size materials acted as gel-to-gel contacts between coarser particles. Based on an extensive study of landslides, Mesri and Shahien (2003) demonstrated a non-linear dependence of residual shear strength on effective normal stress as

$$s(r) = \sigma_n\tan[\phi_k]^r\left(\frac{\sigma_n}{\sigma_p}\right)^{1-m_h}$$

where $s(r)$ is the residual shear strength (shear strength of pre-sheared surfaces, from pre-cut surfaces of intact or reconstituted specimens); $[\phi_k]^r$ is the secant residual friction angle at $\sigma_n = \sigma_p$; $\sigma_n$ is the effective normal stress; $\sigma_p$ is the pre-consolidated pressure; $(1 - m_h)$ is the slope of the line of log(tan[\phi_k]) against log($\sigma_n/\sigma_p$); and $[\phi_k]$, is the secant residual friction angle.

Although the shearing rate and normal stress have been recognised as influencing the measured residual shear strength of clays and sands, their influences on composite soils of a wide range of particle sizes have attracted much less attention from researchers. A systematic experimental investigation into the constitutive behaviour of mixtures of kaolin and glass beads in direct shear was therefore conducted. The particle sizes of the mixture were up to 8.0 mm. The primary objectives were to explore the effects of shearing rate and normal stress on
(a) changes in volume and water content during shearing
(b) the development of shear zone structure
(c) the residual shear strength of composite soils that can be mobilised.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1 Material characteristics and sample preparation

Kaolin and non-porous soda lime glass beads were used as constituent materials to prepare the samples in the study. The glass beads were spherical in shape, with a smooth surface; they were essentially free of particle breakage during shearing under the normal stresses used in the present study, that is, \( \leq 200 \) kPa. The particle sizes of the kaolin were \( \leq 0.002 \) mm, and those of the glass beads ranged from 0.063 mm to 8.0 mm. The specific gravity of the glass beads was 2.48, and that of the kaolin was 2.68.

Each of the constituent materials was first dried in an oven at a temperature of 105 \( \pm 0.5^\circ \)C, and then mixed and divided by the quartering method (dividing a circular heap by diameters at right angles into four equal parts, removing two diagonally opposite quarters and thoroughly mixing the two remaining quarters). In total seven samples were prepared by mixing the constituent materials at pre-specified proportions, as listed in Table 1. Each mixture was placed in a plastic bag and shaken until it was homogeneous. The particle size distributions of the samples are shown in Figure 1. Each sample was divided into five fractions as specimens to be sheared at pre-specified test conditions: normal stress 50, 100 or 200 kPa, and shearing rate 0.06, 0.6 or 6.0 mm/min.

2.2 Test equipment

An intermediate strain-controlled digital direct-shear apparatus, with specimen dimensions 100 mm \( \times \) 100 mm \( \times \) 40 mm high, was used in this study. The apparatus was equipped with two digital dial gauges to measure the vertical and horizontal (shear) displacements. Normal stress was applied to the specimen through a lever loading device. Shear force was measured by means of two proving rings with measuring capacities of 2.0 kN and 4.5 kN, and accuracy within \( \pm 1\% \) of the indicated load. The two capacities were used to measure shear force under low and high normal stress conditions respectively. Horizontal and vertical displacements, and shear force applied, were recorded by a data logger at the minimum shear displacement intervals of 2 \( \mu \)m.

2.3 Testing procedures

Each specimen was prepared in the shear box in three layers of equal thickness. Each layer was compacted by dropping a 2.5 kg hand rammer (with a square end of 10 mm \( \times \) 10 mm) from a height of 450 mm 30 times on to the dry specimen. Specimens of each sample (e.g. specimens 1-I to 1-V from sample 1) had the same mass, and were compacted into the same volume, to ensure a uniform dry density and void ratio, and therefore the same water content after full saturation.

Prior to the experimentation in the present study, a trial saturation test was conducted by means of a triaxial compression apparatus on a cylindrical 100% kaolin specimen 200 mm high and 100 mm in diameter. It took approximately 16 h for this specimen to be fully saturated with a pore pressure coefficient \( B \approx 0.95 \). As the dimensions of the test specimens in the present study (100 mm \( \times \) 100 mm \( \times \) 40 mm high) were much less than those

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Kaolin: %</th>
<th>Glass beads: %</th>
<th>Liquid limit: %</th>
<th>Plasticity index: %</th>
<th>BSCS* classification</th>
<th>USCS(^{1}) classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>CH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>CLS-CLG</td>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>MLS-MLG</td>
<td>CL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>MLS-MLG</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>SPG-GPS</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>GW</td>
<td>SW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* British Soil Classification System.

\(^{1}\) Unified Soil Classification System.

Table 1. Physical characteristics of tested samples.
of the cylindrical specimen in the trial triaxial compression test, specimens made of kaolin and glass beads were immersed in water for 48 h and those made solely of glass beads were immersed for 24 h to attain full saturation. A seating pressure of 4·21 kPa was applied on the load cap during saturation to minimise any free swell.

The saturated specimen was then consolidated under the prescribed vertical stress for approximately 24 h. After consolidation, the upper and lower shear boxes were separated by approximately 0·2 mm and the specimen was sheared until a relative horizontal displacement of approximately 14 mm had been achieved. The data were recorded at intervals of 2 μm of horizontal displacement, and the ambient temperature was maintained at 19·5 ± 0·5°C during the test. Each specimen was sheared only once.

3. Results and discussion

The normal stress (σ) and shear stress (τ) were calculated by dividing the measured vertical load and shear force by the contact area, assuming the stresses were distributed uniformly across the shear plane. Corrections for the changes in the contact area of the shearing plane were applied to the shear stress calculations using the equation

$$A_{CS} = A_I - (W \times \delta_h)$$

where $A_I$ is the initial area, $W$ is the width of the soil specimen perpendicular to the shearing direction, and $\delta_h$ is the horizontal displacement. For normal stress, a cross-sectional area of 100 × 100 mm$^2$ was used, and was assumed to be constant during the test (Xu et al., 2007).

In this paper, the residual shear stress $\tau_R$ refers to the shear stress in the residual state. The residual friction angle $\phi_R$ is derived from the residual stress ratio by

$$\phi_R = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\tau_R}{\sigma}\right)$$

where $\sigma$ is the normal stress. The terms ‘fine fraction’ and ‘coarse fraction’ are used to refer to the percentages by weight of particles smaller than 2 μm and greater than 63 μm in diameter respectively. The test results, in terms of test conditions, horizontal displacement and stress ratio at the residual state, are summarised in Table 2.

3.1 Volume change

The vertical displacement of the specimens at residual state are presented against the normal stress applied and shearing rate in Figures 2(a) and 2(b) respectively. The specimens presented in Figure 2(a) were sheared at 0·06 mm/min and those presented in Figure 2(b) were subjected to a normal stress of 200 kPa. Positive values of vertical displacement represent dilation and negative values represent contraction. Generally speaking, the results reveal a strong dependence of vertical displacement on both the normal stress and the shearing rate. As shown in Figure 2(a), samples 1 to 4 exhibited a contraction, with negative values of vertical displacement, and samples 6 and 7 exhibited a dilation. In all cases, the vertical displacement decreased with increase in normal stress. The higher the normal stress applied, the lower was the potential for the specimen to dilate. Sample 5, for example, exhibited dilation with positive vertical displacement under a normal stress of 50 kPa (specimen 5-I), but reversed into a contraction when sheared under 100 kPa (5-II). On the other hand, as shown in Figure 2(b), the vertical displacement increased with shearing rate. The faster the shearing rate, the greater was the potential for the specimen to dilate. For instance, sample 5 gradually transformed from contraction to dilation when the shearing rate was increased from 0·06 to 6·0 mm/min.

Mitchell (1993) attributed dilation/contraction to shear-induced change of the soil structure. Since the specimen was fully submerged during shearing, it is reasonable to assume that it remained fully saturated during shearing. Therefore, the water content of the soil reveals the void ratio, density and microstructure of the sheared specimen. To develop a better insight into the change of structure of the sheared specimen, the water content of each sheared specimen was determined immediately after removing it from the apparatus and wiping off the free water on the surfaces. A strip of specimen approximately 10 mm thick and 60 mm wide along the direction of shear was cut from the middle of the shear zone. This strip was then divided into three parts, and their average water content was taken as that of the shear zone. Two pieces, each weighing approximately 40 g, were cut from the top and bottom layers of each sheared specimen for measurements of water content. These locations are denoted as ‘outer zones’. It was observed that the water contents of the top and bottom layers were approximately the same for each specimen, with an average standard deviation of less than 0·1%. Their average was then used for comparison with the average water content of the shear zone. The water contents of the specimens of sample 7, which were composed of only the coarse fraction (glass beads), were not determined owing to the free drainage in these specimens.

The differences in water content between the shear zone and the outer zones against the normal stress applied and shearing rate are plotted in Figures 3(a) and 3(b) respectively. The specimens presented in Figure 3(a) were sheared at 0·06 mm/min and those presented in Figure 3(b) were subjected to a normal stress of 200 kPa. Negative values indicate that the water content of the shear zone was lower than those of the outer zones, and positive values that it was higher. The distance from the data point to the neutral line, the ‘0·0’ line, indicates the extent to which the water content of the shear zone deviated from that of the outer zones. It can be observed in Figure 3 that the difference in water content gradually decreases from positive to negative with either an
increase in normal stress or a decrease in shearing rate. This
indicates that high normal stress tended to cause low water
content in the shear zone, and the higher the normal stress
applied during shearing, the lower was the water content of
the shear zone relative to that of the outer zones. Moreover, the
slower the shearing rate, the lower was the water content of
the shear zone relative to that of the outer zones. For example, for
sample 5, when sheared under 50 kPa (5-I), the shear zone had a
water content approximately 1.3% greater than that of the outer
zones. When sheared under 100 kPa (5-II), the water content of
the shear zone was less than that of the outer zones. The water
content of the shear zone reversed to be greater than that of the
outer zones when the specimen was sheared at a high shearing
rate of 6.0 mm/min (5-V).

Since the specimen was fully saturated during shearing, the
change in water content reflects the change in void ratio. The lower
water content (negative difference) in the shear zone relative to the
outer zones could suggest that the void ratio of the shear zone was
less than that of the outer zones, indicating densification/contraction
of the shear zone during shearing, whereas a greater water content (positive difference) could

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Specimen no.</th>
<th>Normal stress, $\sigma$: kPa</th>
<th>Shearing rate: mm/s</th>
<th>Dry density: g/cm³</th>
<th>Horizontal displacement: mm</th>
<th>Residual stress ratio, $\tau_0/\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>10.63</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>11.60</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>8.67</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>7.90</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>9.49</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7-I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-II</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-III</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-IV</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-V</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
suggest a loosening/dilation of the shear zone material. As shown
in Figure 4, the correlation between the vertical displacement $\gamma$
and the difference $\delta$ in water content between the shear zone and
outer zones can be expressed by the best-fit line

$$
\gamma = 0.166\delta \ (R = 0.740)
$$

The intercept of zero of this correlation implies that no vertical
displacement (volume change) would be expected if there is no
difference in water content between shear zone and outer zones.
This suggests that the volumetric change of the sample was
caused mainly by changes in the void ratio or structure in the
shear zone. This inference agrees with the conclusion of Tatsuoka
et al. (1990) and Wu et al. (2008) that during the shearing test,
disturbance of the shear zone accounts for the observed bulk
volume change. In other words, the most disturbed part is the
shear zone, while the outer zones remain unchanged during
shearing. The inference from Figure 4 together with the implica-
tion of Figure 2 makes it evident that an increase in normal stress
would enhance the tendency for the shear zone to contract, by
reducing both the void ratio and water content of the material in
the shear zone. However, an increase in shearing rate would
enhance the tendency for the shear zone to dilate, by increasing
the void ratio and water content of the material in the shear zone.

The results in Figures 2 and 4 also reveal the impact of the grain
size distribution on the mechanical behaviour of the composite
samples during shearing. A greater coarse fraction in the samples
(e.g. samples 6 and 7) resulted in less volumetric contraction, or
even dilation, whereas a smaller coarse fraction led to more
volumetric contraction (e.g. samples 1, 2 and 3). This observation
may plausibly be attributed to reorganisation of the soil fabric in
the shear zone. When a sample of 100% fine fraction (e.g. sample
1) is sheared, a well-slickensided shear surface develops, with
fine particles aligned in parallel with the shearing direction. The reorientation of the fine particles causes a volumetric contraction of the sample. As the normal stress increases, a greater portion of edge-to-face interaction of platy clay particles is converted to face-to-face interaction, resulting in a strong foliated fabric, and volumetric contraction (Stark and Eid, 1994). On the other hand, when a sample with a large coarse fraction (e.g. sample 7) is sheared, the residual state is reached with volumetric dilation due to interlocking of coarse particles (Li and Aydin, 2010; Taylor, 1948).

Li and Aydin (2010) argued that a high normal stress favours sparseness (large spacing between coarse particles) and penetration of coarse particles into the finer matrix, suppressing dilation of the specimen. For soil samples with appreciable fractions of both fine and coarse particles, (e.g. samples 2 to 6), the volumetric change is governed by the relative dominance of reorientation of fine particles and interlocking of coarse particles during the shearing process (Kenney, 1967; Lupini et al., 1981). If reorientation prevails, contraction occurs; if particle interlocking is dominant, dilation occurs. Thus it can be understood how the normal stress affects the mechanical behaviour of soils: a high normal stress causes more face-to-face contacts of clay particles, favouring contraction (Stark and Eid, 1994), and also causes coarse particles, when moved by shearing, to be pushed into the fine matrix, restraining dilation. For the effects of shearing rate on volumetric change, Lemos (1986) concluded that massive particles in soil disturbed the shear zone more by lifting the porosity when sheared at a high shearing rate than at a low rate. Li and Aydin (2010) also found that a high shearing rate favoured dilation of the specimen by preventing the coarse particles from penetrating into the fine matrix.

3.2 Mesostructure of shear surface
Upon completion of shearing, the sheared specimen was split into two parts for examination of the shear surfaces. As shown in Figure 5, the shear surface becomes smoother, more even and polished, and well slickensided when sheared under a higher normal stress. For sample 2, comprising 80% fines, specimens 2-I, 2-II and 2-III, which were subjected to normal stresses of 50 kPa, 100 kPa and 200 kPa respectively during shearing, exhibited an increasingly smoother and more polished shear surface with increasing normal stress. Specimens 2-IV and 2-V were sheared at 0.6 and 6 mm/min respectively. The former exhibited roughness and morphology similar to those of specimen 2-II, whereas the latter was relatively rough, uneven and locally disrupted by coarse particles.

Sample 3 contained a 60:40 clay : coarse fraction ratio. Specimens 3-I and 3-II had shear surfaces marked by discontinuous grooves caused by the penetration of coarse particles, whereas the shear surfaces of specimen 3-III were relatively reflective, even and slickensided. For the specimens sheared at higher shearing rates, the shear surfaces of specimen 3-IV were characterised by fluctuating slickensides. In specimen 3-V, slickensides did not spread over the entire shear surfaces. However, local conglomerations of coarse particles surrounded by fines were observed, causing deep grooves (or coarse striations) on the shear surface, and interrupting the slickensides.

Sample 4 contained a 1:1 fine : coarse fraction ratio. The shear surfaces of specimen 4-III revealed poorly developed and discontinuous slickensides. Specimen 4-II had several small slickensided portions scattered on the shear surfaces. There was no evidence that specimens 4-I and 4-IV contained slickensides on the shear surfaces. The shear surfaces of specimen 4-V were not distinct, and the shear zone resembled a reworked soil mass without any shear-induced structure.

Based on the visual examination of the shear surfaces, the following inferences can be drawn.

(a) At the same shearing rate, the shear surface becomes smoother with an increase in normal stress applied during shearing.
(b) A high shearing rate prevents the development of slickensides, and produces rougher and more uneven shear surfaces.
(c) A larger fines fraction promotes the development of smoother and more polished shear surfaces.

3.3 Residual strength
Figure 6 shows the residual friction angle determined for the specimens at a shearing rate of 0.06 mm/min under the three normal stresses of 50, 100 and 200 kPa. In general, the residual shear strength of the soil mixtures tested was dependent on normal stress, as the residual friction angle decreased with increase in normal stress applied during shearing. Low residual shear strength is attributed to a relatively smooth and even shear surface, whereas a rough and uneven shear surface is responsible for a high residual shear strength. As shown in Figure 5, specimen 2-III (sheared under normal stress of 200 kPa) had the smoothest shear surfaces among specimens 2-I (50 kPa), 2-II
Figure 5. Shear surfaces of tested specimens. See Table 2 for sample and test conditions. The graduated scale on the photographs is readable to 1.0 mm.
(100 kPa) and 2-III, and it had the minimum residual shear strength correspondingly. Similarly, specimen 3-III had the lowest residual shear strength within the test set of specimens 3-I, 3-II and 3-III, and Specimen 4-III the lowest among specimens 4-I, 4-II and 4-III.

For soils with a high fines fraction, Stark and Eid (1994) argued that the stress-dependent behaviour of residual shear strength could be attributed to the rearrangement of platy clayey particles during shearing. They indicated that as the effective normal stress increases, the shear surfaces tend to be smooth (e.g. 2-III in Figure 5) as a result of the conversion of edge-to-face interactions of platy clay particles to face-to-face interactions, leading to a lower residual shear strength. For soils with a low fines fraction, Li and Aydin (2010) argued that, at a low normal stress, it is easy for coarse particles to overcome the resultant force induced by normal and shear stresses, and to ride over each other when they come into contact. In this case, slickensided shear surfaces can barely form, and the shear surfaces either have poorly developed and discontinuous slickensides (e.g. 3-I in Figure 5) or simply comprise a thick pile of materials (e.g. 4-I in Figure 5), leading to a high residual shear strength. At high normal stress, the coarse particles are pushed into the fine matrix when they come into contact, as the matrix is not sufficiently stiff to withstand the resultant force, introducing the accumulation of fine particles in the shear zone to cause a low residual shear strength (e.g. 4-III in Figure 5).

Figure 7 shows the residual friction angle determined for the specimens sheared under a normal stress of 200 kPa at shearing rates of 0.06, 0.6 and 6.0 mm/min. Increasing the shearing rate from 0.06 to 6.0 mm/min led to a decrease in residual shear strength for samples with a relatively greater fine fraction (samples 1 to 5), and an increase in residual shear strength for the coarser samples (samples 6 and 7). This indicates that there are two principal types of influence of shearing rate on soil behaviour: the increase or decrease in residual strength depends on the grain size distribution of the sample.

For soils with a high fines fraction, the decrease in residual strength with increase in shearing rate is probably due to the development of excess pore water pressures as a result of contraction of the shear zone. Parathiras (1994) found that if the shearing rate is gradually decreased to a value that would allow for the dissipation of excess pore water pressure, the shear strength of the sample would increase. However, rapid shearing does not allow immediate dissipation of the excess pore water pressure generated during shearing. It is the delayed dissipation of pore pressure that reduces the residual shear strength.

With a small fines fraction, the sample did not develop any distinct shear surfaces, and behaved in a turbulent shear mode. The interlocking of coarse particles and reorientation of fine particles coexist in this situation. Lemos (1986) reported that a rapid shearing rate could cause a permanent disruption of the shear zone in which the fine particles have a lower degree of orientation, and that increasing the shearing rate could change the extent of reorientation and interlocking by weakening the former and enhancing the latter, leading to a rough shear surface and a high residual strength. Li and Aydin (2010) also indicated that, at a low shearing rate, the slow build-up of strong contact forces helps to anchor larger particles in place, and further compacts intermediate-sized particles as the coarse particles slide over them. This process eventually produces a relatively compacted shear zone and a relatively even shear surface, on which a low residual strength. Li and Aydin (2010) also indicated that, at a low shearing rate, the slow build-up of strong contact forces helps to anchor larger particles in place, and further compacts intermediate-sized particles as the coarse particles slide over them. This process eventually produces a relatively compacted shear zone and a relatively even shear surface, on which a low residual strength. Li and Aydin (2010) also indicated that, at a low shearing rate, the slow build-up of strong contact forces helps to anchor larger particles in place, and further compacts intermediate-sized particles as the coarse particles slide over them. This process eventually produces a relatively compacted shear zone and a relatively even shear surface, on which a low residual strength.

4. Conclusion
Soils with a wide range of particle sizes are the most commonly encountered materials in geotechnical engineering practice. A systematic investigation into the constitutive behaviour of such soils was conducted by means of direct shear tests on artificial mixtures of kaolin and glass beads. The volume change and the structure and water content of the shear zone were methodically...
investigated. Based on the experimental results and analyses, the following conclusions can be drawn.

(a) A homogeneous soil specimen becomes heterogeneous after shearing. The shear zone is the most affected part of the specimen in terms of structure and void ratio, whereas these properties remain unchanged in the outer zones.

(b) The volumetric change of a specimen during shearing is consistent with variation of the water content in shear zone, indicating that the volumetric change of the specimen during shearing occurs primarily in the shear zone.

(c) The volume change and the structure of the shear zone are strongly dependent upon both the magnitude of normal stress applied and the shearing rate. A high normal stress and a low shearing rate tend to result in contraction, and smooth, well slickensided shear surfaces, while a low normal stress and a high shearing rate result in dilation and a rough shear surface.

(d) The residual friction angle decreases with an increase in the normal stress applied during shearing. A high shearing rate causes an increase in the residual shear strength for samples with low fine fraction, and reduces it in specimens with high fines fractions.
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