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Optical scanning holography (OSH) enables us to capture the three-dimensional information of an object, and a post-processing step known as sectional image reconstruction allows us to view its two-dimensional cross-section. Previous methods often produce reconstructed images that have blurry edges. In this paper, we argue that the hologram’s two-dimensional Fourier transform maps into a semi-spherical surface in the three-dimensional frequency domain of the object, a relationship akin to the Fourier diffraction theorem used in diffraction tomography. Thus, the sectional image reconstruction task is an ill-posed inverse problem, and here we make use of the total variation regularization with a nonnegative constraint and solve it with a gradient projection algorithm. Both simulated and experimental holograms are used to verify that edge-preserving reconstruction is achieved, and the axial distance between sections is reduced compared with previous regularization methods. © 2010 Optical Society of America
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1. INTRODUCTION
Optical scanning holography (OSH) is a digital holographic technique that preserves phase information by optical heterodyne detection and records the three-dimensional (3D) diffracted propagation of an object as two-dimensional (2D) holograms [1,2]. Computational methods are then employed to reconstruct individual 2D sectional images, and recent techniques aim to enhance the quality of reconstructed sections with higher resolution [3,4]. This includes an inverse imaging method, where the OSH system is modeled by an operation matrix and sectional image reconstruction is cast as an ill-posed inverse problem [5]. Tikhonov regularization is then used as a smoothness constraint. While this algorithm has shown a very good reconstruction quality in terms of suppressing defocus noise compared with other recent methods [6,7], sharp edges tend to be blurred as a result of minimizing the total energy (or mean square error) in the reconstruction algorithm [8].

It may seem straightforward, then, to experiment with replacing the Tikhonov regularization by other nonlinear techniques. However, we argue that one should first understand the imaging process and investigate how the data are undersampled. This can help us appreciate how prior information can be needed, and how it may be formulated in the nonlinear regularization. As we will see in the third section, with encoding the 3D information of an object into a 2D hologram by optical coherent detection [9], OSH effectively captures an object’s diffracted propagation. Therefore, the sectional image reconstruction is equivalent to retrieving sections from the diffraction, which is known as diffraction tomography in a variety of applications, ranging from ultrasound imaging to geophysical exploration [10,11]. Proposed algorithms have evolved from interpolation based on Born and Rytov approximations to filtered backpropagation (FBP) [12], and to inverse scattering algorithms and total variation (TV) minimization more recently [13,14]. The amount of data capture is gradually decreasing with more advanced algorithms. Thus, in relating OSH to diffraction tomography, we can take advantage of these more recent techniques in reconstructing sectional images.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the OSH system. Then, in Section 3, we analyze the relationship between diffraction tomography and the OSH system. A sampling of 3D Fourier transform result is presented in the mechanism of generating the hologram. Section 4 presents the inverse problem of representing a reconstruction of sectional images from a hologram. Both the total variation (TV) penalty and the nonnegativity are used as constraints to regularize a solution. In Section 5, experimental results are presented to demonstrate the performance of the reconstruction method. Section 6 concludes the paper.

2. OPTICAL SCANNING HOLOGRAPHY SYSTEM
In OSH, an object is scanned by a system with a complex Fresnel-zone-plate (FZP) impulse response [15]. Then heterodyne detection collects diffracted and scattered light from the object and records it as electronic holograms. The holograms encode the 3D position information of the diffracted propagation through the object.

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram of an OSH system. A helium-neon (HeNe) laser is used to scan an object. A beam splitter BS1 splits the laser beam. One beam works...
as a reference light, and the other is an object light to scan the object. The former goes through an acousto-optic frequency shifter (AOFS) to alter its temporal frequency from \( \omega_0 \) to \( \omega_0 + \alpha \), which is then reflected by a mirror \( M_1 \) to illuminate a pupil, \( p_2(x,y) \). Meanwhile, the object light is reflected by \( M_1 \) and controlled by another pupil, \( p_1(x,y) \). The two controlled light beams go through lenses \( L_2 \) and \( L_1 \), respectively, and are then combined by another beam splitter \( BS_2 \). The combined light is used by a 2D scanner to scan an object. A photodetector \( PD \) accepts all light from the object and sends an electric signal to the de- 

The optical transfer function (OTF) in OSH is given by

\[
H(k_x, k_y; z) = \exp \left\{ - \frac{jz}{2k_0} (k_x^2 + k_y^2) \right\}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( z \) is the distance between the scanner and a particular section of interest of the object, \( k_0 \) is the wavenumber, and \( k_x \) and \( k_y \) are the transverse spatial frequency coordinates. Derivations of this formula can be found in \[16,17\].

### 3. OSH AS DIFFRACTION TOMOGRAPHY

#### A. OSH in Fourier Basis

To express the OSH data capture using Fourier bases, we first note that the wavenumber \( k_0 \) is related to \( k_x, k_y, \) and \( k_z \), which are the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) components of the propagation vector, by \( k_0 = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2} \). Making use of the paraxial approximation \[18\], we have

\[
k_z = (k_x^2 - k_y^2 - k_0^2)^{1/2} = k_0 \left( 1 - \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{k_0^2} \right)^{1/2}.
\]

Further simplification yields

\[
k_z \approx k_0 \left( 1 - \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{2k_0^2} \right),
\]

provided \( k_x^2 + k_y^2 \ll k_0^2 = k_0 - \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{2k_0} \). \tag{2}

The requirement of \( k_x^2 + k_y^2 \ll k_0^2 \) is reasonable in OSH because we assume that waves are forward propagating along the positive \( z \) direction. Thus, the \( x \) and \( y \) components are much smaller in comparison.

Substituting the approximation into Eq. (1), we can express the OTF as \[19\]

\[
H(k_x, k_y; z) = \exp \left\{ - \frac{jz}{2k_0} \left( k_x^2 + k_y^2 \right) \right\} = \exp \{ -jz(k_0 - k_z) \}. \tag{3}
\]

Now consider an object \( g(x,y,z) \) with the Fourier transform of its squared intensity \( G(k_x, k_y, z) = \mathcal{F}_{xy}[|g(x,y,z)|^2] \). The resulting hologram, denoted as \( q(x,y) \), is given by

\[
q(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{xy}^{-1}[G(k_x, k_y; z)H(k_x, k_y; z)]dz. \tag{4}
\]

Making use of the approximation in Eq. (3), we get

\[
q(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(k_x, k_y; z) \exp(-jz(k_0 - k_z)) \times \exp[j(xk_x + yk_y)] dk_x dk_y dz
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (G(k_x, k_y, z) \exp(-jz k_0)) \times \exp[j(xk_x + yk_y + k_z)] dk_x dk_y dz. \tag{5}
\]

In words, this states that the hologram from OSH equals the 3D inverse Fourier transform of the 2D Fourier trans-
form of (the squared intensity of) the object multiplied by a linear phase factor.

B. Fourier Diffraction Theorem in OSH
A simpler statement can in fact be made if we consider, instead, the Fourier transform of the hologram, which we denote as \( Q(k_x,k_y) \). From Eq. (4), we have

\[
Q(k_x,k_y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(k_x,k_y,z) H(k_x,k_y,z) dz \\
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{xy}[|g(x,y;z)|^2] \exp[jz(k_z - k_0)] dz \\
= \int \int \int |g(x,y;z)|^2 \exp[-j(xk_x + yk_y - z(k_z - k_0))] dz dy dx.
\]

Letting \( \tilde{G}(\tilde{k}_x,\tilde{k}_y,\tilde{k}_z) = \mathcal{F}_{xy}[|g(x,y;z)|^2] \), i.e., the 3D Fourier transform of the squared magnitude of the object, we can further simplify \( Q(k_x,k_y) \) as

\[
Q(k_x,k_y) = \tilde{G}(k_x,k_y,k_z - (k_z - k_0)) = \tilde{G}(k_x,k_y,k_0 - \sqrt{k_x^2+k_y^2-k_z^2}).
\]

In words, the samples of \( Q(k_x,k_y) \) comprise points equidistant from the point \((0,0,k_0)\) in the 3D spatial frequency domain. This is a semi-spherical surface depicted in Fig. 2.

We can interpret the relationship in Eq. (7) in light of the Fourier diffraction theorem commonly used in diffraction tomography. OSH records a diffracted propagation through the object by a collimated light. The theorem states that when an object is illuminated by a plane wave, the Fourier transform of the diffracted field produces the Fourier transform of the object along the semi-spherical surface in the 3D spatial frequency domain [20,21]. In a sense, this is a generalization of the projection-slice theorem [22], applicable to cases such as computed tomography (CT), by taking into account the wave nature of light propagation and therefore incorporating the diffraction effect [23]. The acquired data also form a “slice” in the spatial frequency domain, albeit the slice is bent to a semi-spherical surface.

Our interest is in the reconstruction of \(|g(x,y;z)|^2\) from the acquired slice. In CT, multiple slices are acquired, and methods such as filtered back-projection can be employed to reconstruct the volumetric data [24]. This is analytically “perfect” in the absence of noise. On the other hand, in OSH we effectively receive only a single semi-spherical slice of data in the spatial frequency domain. The reconstruction problem is therefore severely underdetermined, which explains why regularization is necessary to cope with its ill-posed nature [5]. In addition, many recent algorithms in the reconstruction of CT and other signals involve making use of prior information of the object, particularly the sparsity of its gradient [25,26], to ensure that the reconstructed image contains sharp boundaries. We make use of this to produce our edge-preserving OSH sectional image reconstruction, as detailed in Section 4.

4. EDGE-PRESERVING RECONSTRUCTION
We develop our edge-preserving reconstruction in the spatial domain as follows. Referring back to Eq. (4), we can express the capturing of the hologram as

\[
q(x,y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x,y;z)|^2 h(x,y;z) dz, \tag{8}
\]

where \( h(x,y;z) = \mathcal{F}_{xy}^{-1}[H(k_x,k_y,z)] = -j k_0 / 2 \pi z \exp[jk_0(x^2 + y^2) / 2z] \) as derived in [5,17]. We then discretize \( z \) as \( z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n \) and represent the lexicographical ordering of \( q(x,y) \) and \(|g(x,y;z)|^2\) by \( q \) and \( g \), respectively. The convolution operation is written as a matrix-vector multiplication by forming the matrix \( H_i \) from \( h(x,y;z_i) \) [27], so that Eq. (8) becomes

\[
q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i g_i = H g, \tag{9}
\]

where \( H = [H_1 \cdots H_n] \) and \( g = [g_1^T \cdots g_n^T]^T \). Our sectional image reconstruction problem is then an inverse problem, where we seek to estimate \( g \) from the observation \( q \) and a known \( H \).
To solve this, one can formulate a regularized least squares problem by minimizing the function

$$J(g) = \|q - Hg\|^2 + \alpha \|Cg\|^2,$$

where the $C$ matrix is chosen to be a high-pass filter, such as Laplacian of Gaussian, and $\alpha$ is the regularization parameter, which balances between smoothness and fidelity to observed data. The $\ell_2$ norm is used in both instances in this equation. This is the Tikhonov regularization algorithm developed in [5]. Note that in this method, smoothness is imposed on the whole reconstructed image at the expense of reconstructing sharp edges. Hence, although the reconstruction quality and the flexibility of this algorithm show advantage over other recent schemes [6,7], one noticeable disadvantage of this algorithm is that the reconstructed objects often show blurred edges. This not only affects the visual appearance, but also presents challenges in possible further image analysis algorithms, such as identifying the size of an object.

To improve on the edge quality, we can instead minimize the function

$$J_{TV}(g) = \|q - Hg\|^2 + \alpha \|g\|_{TV},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{TV}$ stands for the total variation (TV) regularization given by

$$\|v(x,y)\|_{TV} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\nabla v(x,y)| dx dy.$$

In addition, $\nabla v(x,y) = (\partial v/\partial x, \partial v/\partial y)$ stands for the gradient of $v(x,y)$.

Such TV regularization has shown to be capable of maintaining edge sharpness in various image and video restoration problems (for example, in [28–30]). TV norm is also essentially $\ell_1$ norm on the gradient of an image, and it has been shown in recent years that this is a powerful tool to reconstruct images from very limited data if we can assume sparsity in signal transition (i.e., edges) in an image [31,32]. In our OSH sectional image reconstruction problem, we indeed have only very limited data as pointed out in Section 3. To the best of our knowledge, the present paper is the first report on a TV regularization-based sectional image reconstruction algorithm to tackle this problem.

Moreover, the computational of $Hg$ also differentiates the present problem from other image restoration algorithms. It does not represent a convolution, but a sum of convolutions; as such, we cannot directly apply the fast Fourier transform to implement the matrix-vector product efficiently. Instead, we developed a method earlier (which is reported in [33]) for this specific purpose. In addition, a further refinement to Eq. (4) is also possible. Since $g_i$ contains entries in $[g(x,y;z_i)]^2$, it must be nonnegative for all pixels. Thus, we should seek to minimize

$$\tilde{J}_{TV}(g) = \|q - Hg\|^2 + \alpha \|g\|_{TV}, \quad \text{subject to } g \geq 0,$$

where the inequality is taken to be componentwise. To solve this, we can make use of the primal-dual Newton’s method [34] and combine it with a gradient projection method to regularize the solution. In each iteration, the primal-dual Newton’s method gives a preliminary guess that satisfies the TV regularization and nonnegativity at the same time.

5. EXPERIMENTS

An experiment is conducted to demonstrate the performance of this edge-preserving reconstruction algorithm. To be consistent with previous work in order to allow convenient comparison, we use a set of simulated data that include two distinct sections in the $z$ direction, where each section contains a rectangular bar. The two bars are at $z_1=14$ mm and $z_2=15$ mm away from the scanner, as
shown in Fig. 4(a). The object is then imported into Eq. (8) to generate a simulated hologram for the experiment. Shown in Fig. 4(b) are the real parts of the Fresnel zone plates [16] of a point source at \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \), respectively. The real and imaginary parts of the hologram are shown in Fig. 5, where (a) is the sine hologram (the real part) and (b) is the cosine hologram (the imaginary part).

The reconstruction of the two sections from the hologram is shown in Fig. 6. As can be seen, the two sections are well separated and are reconstructed at the correct location and with sharp edges. Defocus noise, which is a problem with conventional techniques [16], does not have any visible effect on the reconstructed sections. Moreover, we can compare this reconstruction with the one using the Tikhonov regularization, as shown in Fig. 7. With this method, the two reconstructed sections also show the corresponding bars clearly, but with more defocus noise. The intensity in each section is also recovered nonuniformly, i.e., corners and edges are recovered with higher intensity, while the middle parts have lower intensity in comparison.

To look into the quality of edge preservations of our proposed method, we extract a cross-section of the middle row of the section at \( z_1 \), which is shown in Fig. 8. The blue dashed line shows the row in the original object, while the red solid curve is from the reconstructed section. We can observe that the two agree quite well. In particular, while the ideal object exhibits an abrupt change at the edge within one pixel, in our reconstruction the change can take place within two pixels. Another region of notice is the flat area with high intensity values, which corresponds to the area within the object. In our reconstruction, the intensities are not flat, but contain no more than 5% variations. This gives rise to a rather uniform visual brightness of the object.

Another comparison between the edge-preserving reconstruction and the Tikhonov-regularized inverse imaging methods is the axial distance \( \Delta z \) between the two bars needed for the reconstruction to show two distinct objects at different depths. In this experiment, the hologram is of size 2 mm by 2 mm, which translates to 500 × 500 pixels. The lateral resolution of the hologram is then given by \( \Delta x=\Delta y=4 \) \( \mu \)m. As shown in Fig. 6, the edge-preserving reconstruction separates the two sections with \( \Delta z=1 \) mm completely, while the reconstruction with Tikhonov regularization fails to separate them while suppressing defocus noise, as shown in Fig. 7. (Note that in [5], Tikhonov regularization was successfully applied to separate two bars with \( \Delta z=1 \) mm, but in that experiment \( \Delta x=\Delta y=1 \) \( \mu \)m, which translates to greater constraints if we were to realize this in a physical system.) If the section at \( z_2 \) is moved to 24 mm and a new hologram is measured, the reconstruction by Tikhonov regularization shows comparable performance as our method here in terms of defocus noise suppression. Thus, it can be argued that with the
same lateral resolution of a hologram, the edge-preserving reconstruction is more competent in separating close sections, by reducing the axial separation from 10 mm to 1 mm in this experiment.

Finally, we evaluate the current algorithm using experimental data that have been used earlier in [36]. The hologram is of size 1.5 cm by 1.5 cm, and the two sections are at \( z_1 = 87 \) cm and \( z_2 = 107 \) cm. Note that this system is \( \sim 100 \) times larger than that used in the previous simulations. The diameter of the collimated beam is \( c = 25 \) mm and the focal length of the lens is \( f = 500 \) mm. Thus, the numerical aperture (NA) of the recorded full-parallax complex hologram is \( \text{NA} \approx c/2f = 0.025 \). Shown in Fig. 9 are the real and imaginary parts of the complex hologram, where the object consists of two transparencies with the letters “S” at \( z_1 \) and “H” at \( z_2 \), as shown in Fig. 10. Note that the two letters are hollow inside, which is unlike the two solid bars discussed above, and therefore the reconstruction should preserve such hollow appearance. Our proposed reconstruction method is applied to the hologram, and the extracted sectional images are shown in Fig. 11, where (a) presents the reconstructed section at \( z_1 \) and (b) is the section at \( z_2 \). In both cases, the letters are recovered and the edges are well preserved. We compare the reconstruction with that using Tikhonov regularization, as shown in Fig. 12. Although the intensity of the recovered images is larger, this actually deviates more from the object, which consists of letters with outlines only.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have analyzed the data collection in OSH and developed an edge-preserving sectional image reconstruction method that improves upon the inverse imaging method using Tikhonov regularization in an earlier work [5]. The new technique makes use of total-variation regularization and a nonnegativity constraint, and is imple-
mented using a primal-dual Newton’s method combined with gradient projection. Simulation results show good reconstruction quality with sharp edges and fairly uniform intensities within the object.
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