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Abstract—In this paper, we study the problem of joint carrier frequency offset (CFO) and channel estimation for amplify-and-forward (AF) two-way relay network (TWRN) that comprises two source terminals and one relay node. Both the system design and the estimation problem become more challenging when CFO is non-zero in a frequency-selective environment, as compared to the conventional point-to-point communication systems. By introducing some redundancy, we propose a cyclic prefix (CP) based OFDM modulation for TWRN that is capable of maintaining the advantage of using multi-carrier transmission and at the same time facilitates the system initialization, e.g., synchronization and channel estimation. We then apply a least square (LS) approach to solve the estimation problem. The approximated Cramér-Rao Bound (CRB) has been derived as the performance benchmark of the proposed estimator. Finally, simulations are provided to corroborate the theoretical studies.

I. INTRODUCTION

Research on relay networks has become popular since the pioneer work [1] when Laneman et al. developed low-complexity cooperative diversity strategies and characterized the system performance in terms of outage probabilities. In [1], data streams flow unidirectionally from the source to the relay and then to the destination. Such derived network structure is known as the one-way relay network (OWRN). Since most communication systems are bidirectional, it is also necessary to consider the situation when the source node and the destination node exchange their roles. In fact, the signal collision at the relay can be perfectly resolved at both source terminals from a “network coding”-like manner [2]. This newly structured relay network is known as the two-way relay network (TWRN).

It has been reported in [3] that the overall communication rate between two source terminals in TWRN is approximately twice of that achieved in OWRN, making TWRN particularly attractive to any bidirectional system. Following this guideline, the capacity analysis and the achievable rate region for amplify-and-forward (AF) and decode-and-forward (DF) based TWRN were explored in [4], [5]. In [6] the optimal mapping function at the relay node that minimizes the transmission bit-error rate (BER) was proposed while in [7], the distributed space-time code (STC) was designed for both AF and DF TWRN. Moreover, the optimal beamforming at the multi-antenna relay that maximizes the capacity of AF-based TWRN was developed in [8] and the suboptimal resource allocation in an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) based TWRN was derived in [9].

However, most existing works [3]–[9] assumed perfect synchronization and channel state information (CSI) at the relay node and/or the source terminals. Although the traditional methods can be applied to DF based TWRN, it is necessary to re-visit these two issues for AF based TWRN where the mixture between the first and the second transmission phases makes the estimation a non-trivial problem.

Our earlier study of joint carrier frequency offset (CFO) and channel estimation in TWRN [10] considered the simplest form of relay that acts as a repeater in TWRN. In this paper, we take a step further by looking into the OFDM modulated TWRN. By introducing some redundancy, we adapt the cyclic prefix (CP) based OFDM modulation scheme to TWRN that maintains the carrier orthogonality and facilitates the joint estimation process. The resultant mathematical model is different from the traditional one [11] in that the CFO only accompanies part of the signal components. We then propose a least square (LS) estimation method and derive its approximated Cramér-Rao Bound (CRB). The simulation results are later provided to verify our theoretical studies.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

A. System Model

Consider a TWRN with two source nodes $T_1$ and $T_2$, and one relay node $R$ shown in Fig. 1. Each node has only one antenna that cannot transmit and receive simultaneously. The baseband channel between $T_i$ and $R$ is denoted by

$$f_i$$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $h_1$ for the channel from $T_1$ to $R$, $h_2$ for the channel from $T_2$ to $R$. The system configuration is shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1. System configuration for a three-node TWRN.
\[ r_{cp} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} e^{j2\pi(f_i - f_r)L_T} \Gamma^{(N+L)}[f_i - f_r]H_{cv}^{(N+L)}[h_i]T_{cp}^{(N+L)}s_i + n_r \]
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{2} e^{j2\pi(f_i - f_r)L_T} \Gamma^{(N+L)}[f_i - f_r]T_{cp}^{(L)}H_{cp}^{(N)}[h_i]s_i + n_r. \]

(3)

\( h_i = [h_{i,0}, h_{i,1}, \ldots, h_{i,L}]^T \), where \( L \) represents the order of the corresponding channel.\(^1\) The elements in \( h_i \) are assumed to be zero-mean circularly symmetric complex Gaussian (CSCG) random variables and are independent from one another. In particular, the variance of the \( l \)-th element in \( h_i \) is denoted by \( \sigma^2_{h,l} \). In this paper, we will adopt the time-division-duplexing (TDD) assumption as [2]–[9]. Then the channels can be considered reciprocal such that the channel from \( R \) to \( T_i \) is still represented by \( h_i \). Nonetheless, the proposed strategies can be straightforwardly extended to the more general situation when channels are non-reciprocal. The average transmission powers of \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( R \) are denoted as \( P_1, P_2, \) and \( P_r \), respectively. And the carrier frequencies of \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( R \) are denoted as \( f_1, f_2, \) and \( f_r \), respectively.

B. Existing OFDM Modulation for TWRN

In [12], the OFDM modulation has been adapted to AF TWRN for perfect synchronization scenario. The normal OFDM modulation is applied at two source terminals in the first phase, while the relay needs to remove the CP and add a new CP to yield the circular convolution between the channels of the first and the second phases. By doing this, the received signal is the circular convolution among the signal block and both channels, which keeps the orthogonality among different carriers.

Unfortunately, if there exist non-zero CFO among \( T_1, T_2, \) and \( R \), the circular-convolution property will be broken since the inter-carrier interference (ICI) resides in both the transmission phases. In this case, a more judicious adaption of the conventional OFDM modulation to TWRN should be designed.

III. OFDM MODULATION WITH FREQUENCY SYNCHRONIZATION ERRORS

A. OFDM Modulation at Terminals

Each terminal \( T_i \) first obtains the time domain OFDM signal \( s_i \) from its frequency domain information block \( \tilde{s}_i \) via the normalized IDFT approach. Suppose that each block contain \( N \) symbols. We propose that \( T_i \) adds CP of length \( 2L \) in the front of \( \tilde{s}_i \). This implicitly requires \( N \geq 2L \) which is nevertheless satisfied by most OFDM systems.

Define

\[ T_{cp}^{(P)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_P \\ \frac{N}{P} & I_N \end{bmatrix}, \]

(1)

for any \( P \leq N \). The baseband signal sent out from \( T_i \) is mathematically expressed as \( T_{cp}^{(2L)}s_i \), which will then be up-converted to passband signal by \( e^{j2\pi f_r t} \).\(^2\)

B. Relay Processing

Relay \( R \) first down-converts the passband signal by \( e^{-j2\pi f_r t} \), which gives signal block of length \( N+2L \). However, \( R \) only removes the first \( L \) symbol in the block. Define the convolution matrix

\[ H_{cv}^{(K)}[x] = \begin{bmatrix} x_p & \ldots & x_0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \ldots & x_p & \ldots & x_0 \end{bmatrix} K \text{ rows}, \]

(2)

for \( x = [x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_P]^T \). Also define

\[ \Gamma^{(N)}[f] = \text{diag}(1, e^{j2\pi f T_r}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi (N-1) f T_r} \ldots) \]

are used, and \( H_{cv}^{(N)}[h_i] \) is the \( N \times N \) circulant matrix with the first column \( [h_i^T, 0_{1 \times (N-L-1)}]^T \).

To keep the power constraint from a long term viewpoint, \( R \) scales \( r \) by a factor

\[ \alpha_{cp} = \sqrt{\frac{P_r}{E\{||r_{cp}||^2\}}} = \sqrt{\frac{P_r}{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sigma^2_{h,l} P_i + \sigma^2_{n}}}, \]

(6)

where the property that

\[ (T_{cp}^{(L)})^H T_{cp}^{(L)} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{N-L} & 0 \\ 0 & 2I_L \end{bmatrix} \]

(7)

is used during the computation. Relay \( R \) then up-converts the baseband signal \( \alpha_{cp} r_{cp} \) by \( e^{j2\pi f_r t} \) and broadcasts it to both terminals.

C. Signal Reformation at Terminals

Due to symmetry, we only illustrate the process at \( T_1 \). After down-converting the passband signal by \( e^{-j2\pi f_r t} \), \( T_1 \) obtains the baseband block of length \( N+L \). It then removes the first \( L \) elements and the remaining signal is written in (8), which

\( ^1 \) We assume the same channel length of \( h_i \)'s for notation simplicity. The rest of the discussions can be straightforwardly extended to the more general case.

\( ^2 \) Note that the oscillator may have a initial phase but it is omitted for brevity since the constant phase can be absorbed into the channel effects.
\[ y_{cp} = \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi(f_r-f_1)L_T} \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{r}_{cp} \]
\[ = \alpha_{cp} \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \Gamma^{(N+L)}[f_1-f_r] \mathbf{T}_c^{(L)} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{s}_1 \]
\[ + \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi(f_2-f_1)L_T} \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_2] \mathbf{r}_{cp} \]
\[ = \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi(f_r-f_1)L_T} \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{r}_{cp} \]
\[ = \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi(f_r-f_1)L_T} \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{n}_r + \mathbf{n}_1 \]  
\[ n_e \]  

is shown on the top of the next page. The notation \( n_e \) denotes the equivalent noise and has the covariance

\[ R_{ne} = \sigma_n^2 \left( \alpha_{cp} \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \times (\mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1])^H \left( \Gamma^{(N)}[f_r-f_1] \right)^H + I \right). \]  

(9)

When \( N \gg L \), the following approximation can be made:

\[ R_{nc} \approx \sigma_n^2 \left( \alpha_{cp} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{h}_{1,i} \right) I. \]

(10)

Before we proceed, let us look at the following lemma:

**Lemma 1**: The following equality holds for any \( \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_i] \) and \( \Gamma^{(N)}[f] \), where \( \cdot \) represents the appropriate dimensions:

\[ \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_i] \Gamma^{(N+L)}[f] = \Gamma^{(N)}[f] \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f][\mathbf{h}_i], \]

(11)

where

\[ \Omega^{(K)}[f] = \text{diag}(e^{j2\pi f(K-1)T_s}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi fL_T s}), \]  

(12)

**Proof**: Proved from the straightforward computation. \( \blacksquare \)

**Lemma 1** says that, it is possible to switch \( \Gamma^{(N)}[f] \) from the right side of \( \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_i] \) to the left side by changing the dimension of \( \Gamma^{(N)}[f] \) and rotating \( \mathbf{h}_i \).

According to Lemma 1, \( y_{cp} \) in (8) can be rewritten as

\[ y_{cp} = \alpha_{cp} \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f_1-f_r][\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{T}_c^{(L)} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{s}_1 \]
\[ + \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi vL_T \Gamma^{(N)}[f]} \mathbf{H}_{ce}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f_2-f_r][\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{T}_c^{(L)} \times \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_2] \mathbf{s}_2 + \mathbf{n}_e \]
\[ = \alpha_{cp} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f_1-f_r][\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_1] \mathbf{s}_1 \]
\[ + \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi vL_T \Gamma^{(N)}[f]} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f_2-f_r][\mathbf{h}_1] \times \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{h}_2] \mathbf{s}_2 + \mathbf{n}_e \]

where the property (5) is used when deriving the second equality.

We further note that

\[ \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{x}_1] \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{x}_2] = \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{x}_1 \odot \mathbf{x}_2], \]

(14)

where \( \odot \) denotes the \( N \)-point circular convolution between two vectors. Since \( N \geq 2L + 1 \) is assumed, the non-zero entries in \( N \)-point circular convolution between \( \mathbf{h}_i \)’s coincides with the linear convolution of their non-zero entries.

Let \( \otimes \) denotes the linear convolution between two vectors. Then \( y_{cp} \) can be expressed as

\[ y_{cp} = \alpha_{cp} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f_1-f_r][\mathbf{h}_1] \otimes \mathbf{s}_1 + \mathbf{n}_e \]
\[ + \alpha_{cp} e^{j2\pi vL_T \Gamma^{(N)}[f]} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}(\Omega^{(L+1)})[f_2-f_r][\mathbf{h}_1] \otimes \mathbf{s}_2, \]

(15)

where \( \alpha_{cp}, b_{cp} \) are the \( (2L+1) \times 1 \) equivalent channel vectors and \( v \) is the equivalent CFO, i.e. \( v = f_2 - f_1 \).

**D. Data detection at terminals**

If the cascaded channel \( a_{cp} \) is known to \( T_1 \), then the first term on the right-hand side (RHS) of (15) can be removed since \( T_1 \) knows its own signal \( \mathbf{s}_1 \). If the CFO \( v \) is also known, then \( e^{j2\pi vL_T \Gamma^{(N)}[v]} \mathbf{s}_2 \) can be compensated and the remaining signal is

\[ z_{cp} = \alpha_{cp} \mathbf{H}_{cp}^{(N)}[\mathbf{b}_{cp}] \mathbf{s}_2 + e^{-j2\pi vL_T}(\Gamma^{(N)}[v])^H \mathbf{n}_e, \]

(16)

As long as \( b_{cp} \) is known, the regular OFDM detection can be efficiently performed from fast Fourier Transform (FFT).

**E. Joint CFO and channel estimation**

From the above discussion, we know that the task is to estimate \( a_{cp}, b_{cp}, \) and \( v \). Assume now \( \mathbf{s}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{s}_2 \) as the training blocks, we can rewrite \( y_{cp} \) as

\[ y_{cp} = \mathbf{S}_c^{(N)}[\mathbf{a}_{cp} + \Gamma^{(N)}[v]] \mathbf{S}_c^{(N)}[\mathbf{b}_{cp}] + \mathbf{n}_e, \]

(17)

where \( \mathbf{S}_c^{(N)} \) is the \( N \times (2L+1) \) circulant matrix with first column \( \mathbf{s}_c \). Meanwhile, \( N \geq 4L + 3 \) is required to estimate all the unknown parameters.

**IV. LEAST SQUARE ESTIMATION**

For simplification, we can omit the superscript and the subscript of (17) and rewrite it as

\[ y = \mathbf{s}_1 + \Gamma \mathbf{s}_2 \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{n}_e. \]

(18)

The main difference between this new model and the traditional one [11] is that the CFO component resides only in part of the signal components.
A. The Algorithm

The LS estimation can be directly expressed as

$$\{\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{v}\} = \arg \min_{a, b, v} \| y - S_1 a - \Gamma S_2 b \|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where \( \Gamma \) stands for \( \Gamma[v] \).

Denote \( C = [S_1, \Gamma S_2] \) and \( d = [a^T, b^T]^T \). When \( C \) is a tall matrix, \( d \) can be obtained as

$$d = (C^H C)^{-1} C^H y.$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Substituting (20) back to (19), we can estimate CFO as

$$\hat{v} = \arg \min_v \| y - C d \|^2$$

$$= \arg \max_v y^H C (C^H C)^{-1} C^H y$$

$$= \arg \max_v g(v).$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Since there is only one unknown variable, the grid searching method can be immediately applied to find \( \hat{v} \). Moreover, \( g(v) \) is a polynomial of \( v \), the rooting method suggested in [14] can also be applied to improve the efficiency. Once \( \hat{v} \) is found, the estimates of \( a, b \) can be obtained from (20).

B. Approximated Cramér-Rao Bound (ACRB)

In this subsection, we assume the noise covariance as the one in (10) and derive the approximated CRB. Note that, this bound is asymptotically correct for large value of \( N \).

Let \( \mu = S_1 a + \Gamma S_2 b \), and define

$$\eta \triangleq [v, \Re\{a\}^T, \Im\{a\}^T, \Re\{b\}^T, \Im\{b\}^T]^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Following [13], the Fisher Information Matrix (FIM) is obtained as:

$$F = \frac{2}{\sigma_{\text{nc}}^2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial \mu^H}{\partial \eta} \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \eta}^T \right] = \frac{2}{\sigma_{\text{nc}}^2} \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & r^T & s^T \\ r & K & V \\ s & V & N \end{bmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

where

$$F_{11} = b^H S_{22} D^2 S_2 b,$$

$$D = 2\pi T_s \text{diag}\{0, 1, \ldots, (N-1)\}$$

$$r = \begin{bmatrix} -\Im(S_{22}^H D S_2 b) \\ \Re(S_{22}^H D S_2 b) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} -\Im(S_{22}^H D S_2 b) \\ \Re(S_{22}^H D S_2 b) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} \Re(S_{22}^H S_1) & -\Im(S_{22}^H S_1) \\ \Im(S_{22}^H S_1) & \Re(S_{22}^H S_1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} \Re(S_{22}^H \Gamma^H S_1) & -\Im(S_{22}^H \Gamma^H S_1) \\ \Im(S_{22}^H \Gamma^H S_1) & \Re(S_{22}^H \Gamma^H S_1) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$N = \begin{bmatrix} \Re(S_{22}^H S_2) & -\Im(S_{22}^H S_2) \\ \Im(S_{22}^H S_2) & \Re(S_{22}^H S_2) \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The CRB of CFO is the upper-left block in \( F^{-1} \), which can be explicitly calculated as:

$$\text{ACRB}(v) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{nc}}^2}{2} [F_{11} - t_1^T Q_1^{-1} t_1],$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where

$$t_1 = \begin{bmatrix} r \\ s \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} K, V^T \\ V, N \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

The ACRBs of the channel estimates \( a, b \) are then given by

$$\text{ACRB}(a) = A F^{-1} A^H,$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$\text{ACRB}(b) = B F^{-1} B^H,$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

where

\( A = [0_{(2L+1) \times 1}, I, j I, 0 \times I, 0 \times I] \)

\( B = [0_{(2L+1) \times 1}, 0 \times I, 0 \times I, I, jI] \),

where \( I \) means \((2L+1) \times (2L+1)\) identity matrix.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A three-tap model for both \( h_k \) is assumed, while each tap is Gaussian with unit variance. The variance of the noise is taken as \( \sigma_n^2 = 1 \). The normalized frequencies \( f_1, f_r, f_2 \) are set as 0.94, 1 and 1.06, respectively. The mean square error (MSE) is chosen as the figure of merit, defined by

$$\text{MSE}(v) = \frac{1}{10000} \sum_{i=1}^{10000} (\hat{v}_i - v)^2,$$

$$\text{MSE}(x) = \frac{1}{10000} \sum_{i=1}^{10000} \frac{1}{3} (\hat{x}_i - x)^2,$$

where \( x \) represents \( a \) or \( b \), and 10000 is the number of the Monte-Carlo trials used for average.

First we examine the performance of CFO estimation and the corresponding MSEs versus SNR curves are shown in Fig. 2 for \( N = 16 \) and \( N = 32 \), respectively. The CRBs are also displayed for comparison. It is seen that for both values of \( N \), CFO estimation MSEs approach their bounds in high SNR region. The mismatch at the low SNR region is generally known as outlier [14], that happens because of the estimation ambiguity in several Monte-Carlo runs, which ruins the average performance.

We then demonstrate the corresponding channel estimation results, as well as the CRBs in Fig.3. We see that the

![Fig. 2. CFO estimation MSEs versus SNR for N = 16, 32, respectively.](image-url)
results still deviate from its theoretical values.

It is seen that with the increasing of SNR, the outlier effect in CFO estimation diminishes. Moreover, the performance of both CFO and channel estimation improves when N gets larger.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we considered the problem of joint CFO and channel estimation for AF TWRN in a frequency-selective environment. We adapted the traditional CP OFDM modulation to TWRN that is able to realize the multi-carrier transmission as well as facilitate the system initialization. We then proposed a joint LS estimator and derived its corresponding approximated CRBs. These bounds are asymptotically precise for large N. Finally, the numerical results verified the proposed studies.
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