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Abstract—Semi-blind joint CFO, channel estimation and data detection for OFDM systems over doubly selective channels (DSCs) is investigated in this work. A joint iterative algorithm is developed based on the maximum a posteriori expectation-maximization (MAP-EM) algorithm. In addition, a novel algorithm is also proposed to obtain the initial estimates of CFO and channels. Simulation results show that the performance of the proposed CFO and channel estimators approaches that of the estimators with full training at high SNRs. Moreover, after convergence, the performance of data detection is close to the ideal case with perfect CFO and channel state information.

I. INTRODUCTION

Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) has been widely recognized as an efficient transmission technique for wireless communications. Recently, there is an increasing demand for OFDM systems operating in high mobility environment, such as Digital Multimedia Broadcasting (DMB), DVB-H (Digital Video Broadcast-Handheld), Media Forward Link Only (MediaFLO) and Wireless Metropolitan Area Networks (WiMAX) [1]–[3]. For broadband OFDM systems, high speed movement of mobile terminals causes Doppler spread and results in multi-path time-varying channels [4], i.e., doubly selective channels (DSCs). Due to DSCs, the number of channel parameters in one OFDM symbol significantly increases, which makes the channel estimation difficult. Meanwhile, this doubly-selectivity in the channel destroys the orthogonality among subcarriers and induces intercarrier interference (ICI) in OFDM systems, which also complicates the data detection. Moreover, OFDM systems are known to be sensitive to carrier frequency offset (CFO), and frequency synchronization is always a critical part in the design of OFDM receivers. Therefore, CFO, channel estimation and data detection for OFDM systems over DSCs are very challenging.

Over DSCs, a frequency synchronization method exploiting cyclic prefix (CP) has been introduced in [5]. However, the acquisition range of the proposed CFO estimator is only half of the subcarrier spacing thus limiting its applicability to large CFOs. Furthermore, channel estimation and data detection are not considered in [5]. On the other hand, training sequence based CFO and channel estimators have been proposed in [6], [7]. Unfortunately, a whole OFDM symbol is required for training, which decreases the transmission efficiency.

In this paper, the problem of joint CFO, channel estimation and data detection for OFDM systems over DSCs is addressed. By taking into account the statistics of the parameters to be estimated, a semi-blind maximum a posteriori expectation-maximization (MAP-EM) algorithm is proposed. Using limited number of pilot subcarriers, the MAP-EM algorithm iteratively estimates the CFO, channel and recovers the unknown data. The tentatively recovered data is then exploited to aid the CFO and channel estimations in the next iteration until convergence. In addition to the MAP-EM algorithm, efficient initial CFO and channel estimators are also derived. Simulation results show that, with the efficient initialization developed in this paper, the performance of the proposed CFO and channel estimators approaches that of the estimators with full training at high SNRs. Moreover, after convergence, the performance of data detection is close to the ideal case with perfect CFO and channel state information (CSI).

Notation: Boldface uppercase and lowercase letters will be used for matrices and vectors. Superscripts $H$ and $T$ denotes Hermitian and transpose respectively. The symbol $I_N$ denotes an $N \times N$ identity matrix, with $e_l$ denoting the $l$th column of $I_N$. $\text{diag}(x)$ stands for the diagonal matrix with vector $x$ on its diagonal. The symbol $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product and $\odot$ denotes the Hadamard product. $\text{Tr}\{\cdot\}$ denotes the expectation. $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|$ are the trace and the determinant of a square matrix $X$ respectively. $\Re\{\cdot\}$ and $\Im\{\cdot\}$ are the real and imaginary parts respectively. The matrix $F$ is the FFT matrix with $[F]_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}e^{-j2\pi mn/N}$.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

In an OFDM system, the source data in frequency domain $x$ is modulated onto $N$ parallel subcarriers to obtain the time domain signal $s$. In general, the elements of $x$ can be categorized into two sub-vectors $x_p$ and $x_d$, where $x_p$ contains pilot and $x_d$ contains data. Assuming pilots and data occupy different subcarriers, the time domain signal $s$ can be written as

$$s = F^H p + F^H d$$

where $F_d$ collects those rows of $F$ corresponding to pilot subcarriers, while $F_d$ collects those rows of $F$ corresponding to data subcarriers.
A cyclic prefix (CP) with length longer than the delay spread of the channel, is inserted at the beginning of each OFDM symbol to prevent intersymbol interference (ISI). The spread of the channel, is inserted at the beginning of each symbol. The auto-correlation of the channel, is inserted at the beginning of each symbol. The auto-correlation of the channel vector denoted by $\sigma_n^2$, is inserted at the beginning of each symbol. The auto-correlation of the channel vector, $h$, is therefore the zero-order Bessel function of the first kind, $J_0$.\n
\[ y(t) = \Phi(\epsilon)H(\mathbf{F}_p x_p + \mathbf{F}_d^H x_d) + w(t) \]  
\[ 2 \text{ where } \Phi(\epsilon) = \text{diag}\{e^{j2\pi\epsilon/2}, \ldots, e^{j2\pi(N-1)\epsilon/2}\} \represents the effect of CFO, }\epsilon, \text{ and } w(t) = [w(0), w(1), \ldots, w(N-1)]^T \text{ is the additive white Gaussian noise vector with zero-mean and covariance } \sigma_w^2 N. \text{ and the matrix } H \text{ is defined as} \]

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix} h_0(0) & 0 & h_{L-1}(0) & \cdots & h_0(0) \\ h_1(1) & e_{0}(1) & 0 & h_{L-1}(1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & h_{L-1}(N-1) & \cdots & 0 & h_0(N-1) \end{bmatrix} \]  
\[ \text{ where for notation simplicity, } h_l(n) \text{ is used to denote } h_l(nT_s). \text{ Notice that, over DSCs, the channel varies sample by sample, and therefore the number of unknown channel parameter is } N \times L. \text{ Reversing the position of channel and data, the following equality holds} \]

\[ \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{D}[s]h \]  
\[ \text{where } D[s] = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{E}[s_0], \ldots, \mathbf{E}[L-1]s\} \text{ with } \mathbf{E}_l = [e_{l+1}, \ldots, e_N, e_1, \ldots, e_l] \text{ and the channel vector } h \text{ is defined as } h = [h_0^\top, \ldots, h_{L-1}^\top] \text{ with } h_l = [h_l(0), \ldots, h_l(N-1)]^T \text{ being the channel coefficients of the } l^{th} \text{ tap for the whole OFDM symbol. Each channel tap is independent Gaussian distributed, the probability density function (pdf) of } h \text{ follows} \]

\[ p(h) = \frac{1}{(\pi N L)^{\frac{N L}{2}}} \exp(-h^H R_h^{-1} h) \]  
\[ \text{where the covariance matrix is } R_h = R_L \otimes J \text{ with } R_L = \text{diag}\{\sigma_0^2, \ldots, \sigma_{L-1}^2\} \text{ and the } (k,m)^{th} \text{ entry of } J \text{ being } [J]_{k,m} = J_0(2\pi f_D(m-k)T_s). \text{ The equality given by } (4) \text{ will be used in the derivation of our proposed algorithm.} \]

III. SEMI-BLIND CFO, CHANNEL ESTIMATION AND DATA DETECTION

First, notice that $\{\epsilon, h, x_d\}$ are the unknown parameters that should be estimated. Because the noise is Gaussian distributed, based on (2), the likelihood function for $\{\epsilon, h, x_d\}$ is given by

\[ p(y|h, \epsilon, x_d) = \frac{1}{(2\sigma_w^2)^{L}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma_w^2} ||y - \Phi(\epsilon)H(\mathbf{F}_p x_p + \mathbf{F}_d^H x_d)||^2 \right). \]  

Here we exploit the fact that $H$ is completely characterized by $h$ and therefore conditioning on $H$ is equivalent to conditioning on $h$. The joint maximum likelihood (ML) CFO, channel estimation and data detection problem over DSC based on (6) is an ill-posed one. A direct ML solution based on (6) is not available.

To overcome the problem discussed above, we propose an iterative joint CFO, channel estimation and data detection method based on MAP-EM algorithm [8]. For the derivation, the received signal $y$ is referred as incomplete data and we take $(y,x_d)$ as the complete data. The MAP-EM algorithm alternates between the E-step and the M-step until convergence, and these two steps at the $i^{th}$ iteration are [8]:

E-step: Compute

\[ Q(\epsilon, h|\hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}) = \mathbb{E}[\log p(\epsilon, h|y, x_d)|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}]; \]

M-step: Solve $(\hat{\epsilon}^i, \hat{h}^i) = \text{arg max}_Q(\epsilon, h|\epsilon^{i-1}, h^{i-1}).$\n
The expectation in the E-step is with respect to the conditional pdf $p(x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1})$. The symbol $\hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}$ and $\hat{h}^{i-1}$ are the $(i-1)^{th}$ estimate of the CFO and channel respectively. Notice that a data estimator will be obtained as a byproduct of the E-step [9]. The derivations of E-step and M-step are detailed as follows.

E-step: Using Bayes’ rules, we have

\[ p(\epsilon, h|x_d) = \frac{p(y|\epsilon, h, x_d)p(h|\epsilon)}{p(y|x_d)} \] \[ = 2\mathbb{R}\{y^H \Phi(\epsilon)H(\mathbf{F}_p x_p + \mathbf{F}_d^H x_d) \}
\]

\[ = 2\mathbb{R}\{x_p^H \mathbf{F}_p H H^H \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] \}
\]

\[ - \text{Tr}(H H^H F_d^H \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] F_d) \]

\[ - x_d^H \mathbf{F}_p H H^H \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] F_d \]

\[ - \sigma_w^2 h^H R_h^{-1} h + e^2 \log p(\epsilon) \]

The function $Q(\epsilon, h|\hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1})$ to be maximized is then computed as

\[ Q(\epsilon, h|\hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}) = 2\mathbb{R}\{y^H \Phi(\epsilon)H(\mathbf{F}_p x_p + \mathbf{F}_d^H x_d) \}
\]

\[ = 2\mathbb{R}\{x_p^H \mathbf{F}_p H H^H \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] \}
\]

\[ - \text{Tr}(H H^H F_d^H \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] F_d) \]

\[ - x_d^H \mathbf{F}_p H H^H \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] F_d \]

\[ - \sigma_w^2 h^H R_h^{-1} h + e^2 \log p(\epsilon) \]

where $\mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}]$ is the conditional mean of $x_d$ and $\mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, h^{i-1}]$ is its conditional correlation matrix. Notice that

\[ \mathbb{E}[x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}] = \sum_{x_d \in C^{N_d}} p(x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1}) x_d \approx \hat{x}_d \]

where $\hat{x}_d = \text{arg max}_{x_d \in C^{N_d}} p(x_d|y, \hat{\epsilon}^{i-1}, \hat{h}^{i-1})$ and the approximation is accurate at high signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).
Here $\hat{x}_d^j$ is in fact the MAP estimate of the data [9] which has a prohibitively high computational complexity. In order to reduce the complexity, the MAP estimator is replaced by $\tilde{x}_d^j = \text{demod}(x_d^j)$, where $\text{demod}(\cdot)$ represents the operation of constellation mapping and $\tilde{x}_d^j$ is the MMSE estimate of $x_d$ given $\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}$ and $\hat{h}^{-1}$ as

$$
\tilde{x}_d^j = (\sigma_w^2 A_d^{-1} + F_d H^H_{-1} H_{-1} F_d^H)^{-1}
F_d (H_d^H_{-1} \Phi (\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}) y - H_{-1}^H H_{-1} F_d^H x_p)
$$

where $\Lambda_d$ is a diagonal matrix whose elements depend on the average power of $x_d$. Similarly, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}\{x_d^H y | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1}\} \approx \tilde{x}_d^j (\hat{\varepsilon}^j)^H.
$$

Substituting (11) and (12) into (9), it follows

$$
Q(\varepsilon, h | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1}) = 2 R[y^H \Phi(\varepsilon) H s_i^i] - 2 R[x_p^H F_d H^H_{-1} H_{-1} F_d^H x_p]
- \text{Tr}(H_d^H H_d^H \tilde{x}_d^j (\hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}) F_d^H x_p) - \sigma_w^2 h^{-1} H_r^{-1} h + \sigma_w^2 \log p(\varepsilon).
$$

M-step:

In the M-step, we maximize $Q(\varepsilon, h | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1})$ in (13) with respect to $\varepsilon$ and $h$. However, notice that $H$ depends on $h$ in a nonlinear way, direct maximization of (13) with respect to $h$ is difficult. In the following we derive an alternative expression for $Q(\varepsilon, h | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1})$ from which a closed-form solution of $h$ can be obtained.

We first rewrite (13) as

$$
Q(\varepsilon, h | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1}) = 2 R[y^H \Phi(\varepsilon) H s_i^i] - (\hat{s}_i^i)^H H^H \hat{s}_i^i
- \sigma_w^2 h^{-1} H_r^{-1} h + \sigma_w^2 \log p(\varepsilon)
$$

with $\hat{s}_i^i = F_d^H x_p + F_d^H \tilde{x}_d^j$. Due to the fact that $H s_i^i = D \hat{s}_i^i h$, we have

$$
Q(\varepsilon, h | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1}) = 2 R[y^H \Phi(\varepsilon) D \hat{s}_i^i h] - h^H D^H \hat{s}_i^i D \hat{s}_i^i h
- \sigma_w^2 h^{-1} H_r^{-1} h + \sigma_w^2 \log p(\varepsilon).
$$

By setting the first derivative of $Q(\varepsilon, h | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}, \hat{h}^{-1})$ in (15) with respect to $h$ to zero, $h$ minimizing the function above for any $\varepsilon$ follows as

$$
\hat{h} = (D^H \hat{s}_i^i D \hat{s}_i^i + \sigma_w^2 R_h^{-1})^{-1} D^H \hat{s}_i^i \Phi(\varepsilon) y.
$$

Notice that the inverse of $R_h$ is required but does not exist. Using matrix inverse lemma, we have

$$
\hat{h} = R_h D^H \hat{s}_i^i (D \hat{s}_i^i R_h D^H \hat{s}_i^i + \sigma_w^2 I_N)^{-1} \Phi(\varepsilon) y
$$

where the inverse of $R_h$ is avoided.

Putting (17) into (15), we have

$$
Q(\varepsilon | \hat{\varepsilon}^{-1}) = y^H \Phi(\varepsilon) D \hat{s}_i^i R_h D^H \hat{s}_i^i (D \hat{s}_i^i R_h D^H \hat{s}_i^i + \sigma_w^2 I_N)^{-1} \Phi(\varepsilon) y + \sigma_w^2 \log p(\varepsilon).
$$

The $i^{th}$ estimate of the CFO $\hat{\varepsilon}^i$ can be obtained by one-dimension search.

In summary, the proposed MAP-EM algorithm iterates among the following equations until $| \hat{\varepsilon}^i - \hat{\varepsilon}^{i-1} | < K_\varepsilon$ and $||\hat{h}^i - \hat{h}^{i-1}|| < K_h$

For $i = 1, 2, \ldots$

$\tilde{x}_d^j = \text{demod}(x_d^j)$

$\hat{\varepsilon}^i = \arg \max Q(\varepsilon | \hat{\varepsilon}^{i-1})$

$\hat{h}^i = R_h D^H \hat{s}_i^i (D \hat{s}_i^i R_h D^H \hat{s}_i^i + \sigma_w^2 I_N)^{-1} \Phi(\varepsilon) y$

where $\tilde{x}_d^j$ and $Q(\varepsilon | \hat{\varepsilon}^{i-1})$ are defined in (11) and (18) respectively, and $K_\varepsilon$ and $K_h$ are predefined thresholds to terminate the algorithm.

Remark 1: In case there is no prior information of CFO and all the subcarriers are training, the E-step is not necessary and we can set $\hat{s}_i = s$ in (17) and (18). The resultant channel and CFO estimators are equivalent to those proposed in [6].

IV. Initialization

A good initialization is essential to EM algorithm, and therefore, the problem now becomes how to obtain the initial estimates of CFO and channel. Notice that the received signal is $y(n) = e^{j2\pi n/\tau} \sum_{l=0}^{l=1} h_l(n)s(n-l) + w(n)$. Since each tap of the channel is independent identically distributed, when $L$ is large enough, with the central limit theory, the undistorted signal (i.e., $\sum_{l=0}^{l=1} h_l(n)s(n-l)$) is approximately Gaussian, and accordingly $p(y|\varepsilon)$ is approximately Gaussian.

Now we compute the mean and covariance matrix of $y$ given $\varepsilon$. Since the channel is zero-mean, $\mathbb{E}\{y|\varepsilon\} = 0$. After lengthy but straightforward manipulations (omitted due to limited space), the covariance matrix can be given by

$$
\mathbb{E}\{yy^H|\varepsilon\} = \Phi(\varepsilon)(D F_p^H x_p) R_h D^H \Phi(\varepsilon) F_p^H x_p
+ J \circ (F_d^H A_d F_d) + \sigma_w^2 I_N \Phi(\varepsilon).
$$

Therefore, based on the approximate Gaussian distribution $p(y|\varepsilon)$, according to (19) and the fact that $\mathbb{E}\{y|\varepsilon\} = 0$, the initial CFO estimator follows ML criteria as

$$
\hat{\varepsilon}^0 = \arg \min_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E}\{y^H \Phi(\varepsilon) D F_p^H x_p) R_h D^H \Phi(\varepsilon) F_p^H x_p
+ J \circ (F_d^H A_d F_d) + \sigma_w^2 I_N \Phi(\varepsilon)y\}.
$$

After CFO estimation, initial channel estimation is now considered. The system model in (2) can be rewritten as

$$
y = \Phi(\varepsilon) D F_p^H x_p + \Phi(\varepsilon) H \Phi(\varepsilon) y.
$$

By treating $x_d$ as interference, the MMSE channel estimate is then given by

$$
\hat{h}^0 = R_h D^H \Phi(\varepsilon) F_p^H x_p) R_h D^H \Phi(\varepsilon) F_p^H x_p
+ J \circ (F_d^H A_d F_d) + \sigma_w^2 I_N \Phi(\varepsilon)y.
$$

Remark 2: In the extreme case that all data are training, $s$ becomes known and $p(y|\varepsilon)$ is definitely Gaussian. The initial CFO and channel estimators in (20) and (22) reduce to those proposed in [6].

V. Simulation Results and Discussions

In this section, the performance of the proposed algorithm for joint CFO, channel estimation and data detection is demonstrated by Monte Carlo simulations, where each point is obtained by averaging over $M = 10^4$ runs. Each OFDM symbol has 128 subcarriers ($N=128$) and the length of CP is 8.
Carrier frequency is \( f_c = 2 \text{ GHz} \), the sample interval \( T_s = 2 \mu s \) and the speed of vehicle is \( v = 219 \text{ km/hr} \), which results in the normalized maximal Doppler shift \( N f_c T_s = 0.1 \). The channel has six taps (\( L = 6 \)) with an exponential power delay profile, which is generated according to Jakes’ model. The CFO is assumed to follow a uniform distribution on the interval \([-0.5, 0.5]\). We set thresholds \( K_{ce} = 0.001 \) and \( K_h = 0.01 \). The pilot structure in [10] is adopted. More specifically, ten pilot clusters are used with each cluster occupying three pilots, where only one non-zero pilot is transmitted in the middle of the cluster. This means that roughly 23.4% of the subcarriers are occupied by pilots. The non-zero pilots are generated following complex Gaussian distribution and the data are chosen from 16 QAM constellation.

In Fig. 1, the MSEs of CFO and channel estimates versus the number of iterations are depicted for different SNRs. It can be seen that the performance of CFO and channel estimation improves significantly in the first iteration. Furthermore, the MSEs converge to stable values quickly and there is no observable improvement after about five iterations.

Fig. 2 shows the MSE of the channel estimate as a function of SNR. The proposed initial channel estimator is labeled as ‘Iter=0’. The channel estimator derived for full training [6] is also shown for comparison. As can be seen, though the density of pilots is low (only 23.4% of the subcarriers are occupied by pilots), as the number of iteration increases, the performance of the proposed channel estimator improves continually. After five iterations, it performs almost the same as that with full training at high SNRs. Similar results are also observed for CFO estimation and the figure is not presented here due to space limitation.

Fig. 3 shows the BER performance of the proposed algorithm as a function of SNR. For comparison, the ideal case which assumes perfect CFO and CSI is also depicted. It is clear that, after convergence, the BER performance is close to the ideal case.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Semi-blind CFO, channel estimation and data detection for OFDM systems over DSCs was developed based on the MAP-EM algorithm. For initialization, an approximate ML CFO estimator and a MMSE channel estimator were derived. Simulation results demonstrated that the proposed MAP-EM algorithm converges in a few iterations and moreover, at high SNRs, the performance of CFO and channel estimators approaches that of the estimators with full training. Moreover, after convergence, the BER performance is close to the ideal case with perfect CFO and channel state information.
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